

**1. US Review**

How would you say the year 2015 went for the U.S. as a whole?

	Gender			Age (4 category)				Race (4 category)			
	Total	Male	Female	Under 30	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other
Excellent	4%	5%	3%	2%	6%	2%	4%	3%	6%	5%	1%
Good	21%	21%	21%	23%	22%	18%	22%	18%	31%	27%	19%
Fair	44%	48%	40%	45%	41%	48%	39%	46%	43%	43%	36%
Poor	27%	24%	30%	22%	23%	30%	34%	31%	9%	20%	36%
Not sure	4%	2%	6%	8%	7%	2%	1%	3%	10%	5%	8%
Totals (Unweighted N)	100% (998)	100% (480)	100% (518)	100% (175)	100% (278)	100% (376)	100% (169)	100% (695)	100% (121)	100% (114)	100% (68)

	Party ID (3 category)				Family Income (3 category)				Census Region			
	Total	Democrat	Independent	Republican	Under \$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K or more	Prefer not to say	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Excellent	4%	6%	2%	4%	4%	3%	4%	1%	5%	2%	4%	3%
Good	21%	37%	15%	10%	22%	25%	21%	10%	16%	25%	22%	21%
Fair	44%	40%	47%	44%	43%	43%	48%	45%	49%	47%	40%	42%
Poor	27%	12%	30%	41%	26%	27%	25%	32%	26%	24%	28%	30%
Not sure	4%	5%	6%	1%	4%	2%	2%	12%	4%	2%	6%	4%
Totals (Unweighted N)	100% (998)	100% (365)	100% (379)	100% (254)	100% (458)	100% (260)	100% (135)	100% (145)	100% (192)	100% (226)	100% (368)	100% (212)

**2. US Prediction**

Thinking about the nation as a whole, do you predict that:

	Total	Gender		Age (4 category)				Race (4 category)			
		Male	Female	Under 30	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other
2016 will be better than 2015	19%	20%	19%	21%	21%	16%	20%	16%	36%	22%	19%
2016 will be about the same as 2015	39%	41%	37%	40%	36%	41%	39%	41%	22%	44%	38%
2016 will be worse than 2015	26%	25%	28%	19%	25%	30%	30%	31%	15%	17%	26%
Not sure	15%	14%	17%	21%	18%	13%	11%	13%	27%	17%	16%
Totals (Unweighted N)	100% (999)	100% (481)	100% (518)	100% (175)	100% (279)	100% (375)	100% (170)	100% (694)	100% (122)	100% (115)	100% (68)

	Total	Party ID (3 category)			Family Income (3 category)				Census Region			
		Democrat	Independent	Republican	Under \$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K or more	Prefer not to say	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
2016 will be better than 2015	19%	26%	16%	17%	18%	24%	21%	14%	26%	16%	19%	17%
2016 will be about the same as 2015	39%	44%	36%	38%	38%	43%	45%	31%	38%	41%	38%	39%
2016 will be worse than 2015	26%	12%	30%	36%	27%	24%	28%	25%	24%	28%	25%	30%
Not sure	15%	18%	18%	9%	16%	10%	7%	30%	12%	15%	18%	15%
Totals (Unweighted N)	100% (999)	100% (366)	100% (380)	100% (253)	100% (460)	100% (260)	100% (135)	100% (144)	100% (192)	100% (227)	100% (368)	100% (212)

**3. Personal Review**

How would you say the year 2015 went for you, personally?

	Gender			Age (4 category)				Race (4 category)			
	Total	Male	Female	Under 30	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other
Excellent	8%	9%	6%	10%	12%	5%	4%	8%	12%	10%	2%
Good	35%	36%	34%	33%	37%	32%	40%	35%	33%	44%	24%
Fair	37%	39%	34%	31%	31%	46%	35%	37%	41%	28%	41%
Poor	18%	14%	21%	19%	17%	16%	20%	19%	13%	12%	29%
Not sure	3%	1%	4%	7%	2%	1%	0%	2%	1%	7%	5%
Totals (Unweighted N)	100% (999)	100% (481)	100% (518)	100% (175)	100% (279)	100% (375)	100% (170)	100% (695)	100% (121)	100% (115)	100% (68)

  

	Party ID (3 category)				Family Income (3 category)				Census Region			
	Total	Democrat	Independent	Republican	Under \$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K or more	Prefer not to say	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Excellent	8%	10%	7%	7%	7%	9%	14%	3%	11%	6%	9%	4%
Good	35%	44%	30%	33%	30%	44%	40%	33%	34%	36%	35%	35%
Fair	37%	31%	41%	37%	37%	33%	38%	39%	33%	40%	39%	33%
Poor	18%	14%	18%	22%	24%	13%	8%	14%	19%	18%	14%	24%
Not sure	3%	2%	4%	1%	2%	1%	1%	10%	3%	0%	3%	5%
Totals (Unweighted N)	100% (999)	100% (365)	100% (380)	100% (254)	100% (459)	100% (260)	100% (135)	100% (145)	100% (192)	100% (226)	100% (369)	100% (212)

**4. Personal Prediction**

Thinking about your own life, do you predict that:

	Total	Gender		Age (4 category)				Race (4 category)			
		Male	Female	Under 30	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other
2016 will be better than 2015	38%	40%	36%	52%	43%	33%	21%	33%	52%	49%	40%
2016 will be about the same as 2015	36%	36%	37%	26%	31%	37%	58%	42%	25%	26%	30%
2016 will be worse than 2015	13%	13%	12%	8%	10%	17%	14%	14%	5%	11%	18%
Not sure	13%	11%	14%	14%	16%	13%	7%	12%	19%	13%	12%
Totals (Unweighted N)	100% (996)	100% (480)	100% (516)	100% (174)	100% (277)	100% (376)	100% (169)	100% (692)	100% (122)	100% (114)	100% (68)

	Total	Party ID (3 category)			Family Income (3 category)				Census Region			
		Democrat	Independent	Republican	Under \$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K or more	Prefer not to say	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
2016 will be better than 2015	38%	44%	36%	35%	36%	39%	43%	39%	42%	32%	36%	42%
2016 will be about the same as 2015	36%	35%	34%	42%	36%	41%	39%	28%	36%	47%	35%	31%
2016 will be worse than 2015	13%	6%	15%	16%	14%	11%	13%	12%	10%	12%	13%	15%
Not sure	13%	14%	15%	7%	14%	9%	6%	21%	12%	9%	16%	12%
Totals (Unweighted N)	100% (996)	100% (365)	100% (377)	100% (254)	100% (457)	100% (259)	100% (135)	100% (145)	100% (191)	100% (225)	100% (369)	100% (211)

<b>Interviewing Dates</b>	December 26 - 28, 2015
<b>Target population</b>	U.S. adults, aged 18 and over.
<b>Sampling method</b>	Respondents were selected from YouGov's opt-in Internet panel using sample matching. A random sample (stratified by age, gender, race, education, and region) was selected from the 2010 American Community Study. Voter registration was imputed from the November 2010 Current Population Survey Registration and Voting Supplement. Religion, minor party identification, and non-placement on an ideology scale, were imputed from the 2008 Pew Religion in American Life Survey.
<b>Weighting</b>	The sample was weighted using propensity scores based on age, gender, race, education, voter registration, and non-placement on an ideology scale. The weights range from 0.203 to 4.083, with a mean of one and a standard deviation of 0.896.
<b>Number of respondents</b>	1000
<b>Margin of error</b>	± 4.2% (adjusted for weighting)
<b>Survey mode</b>	Web-based interviews
<b>Questions not reported</b>	20 questions not reported.