February 28-March 2, 2015



List of Tables

1. Interest in news and public affairs	2
2. Direction of country	3
3. Seriousness of threat – ISIS	4
4. Seriousness of threat – Al Qaeda	5
5. Seriousness of threat – Hamas	6
6. Seriousness of threat – Iran	7
7. Seriousness of threat – Russia	8
8. Seriousness of threat – Syria	9
9. Know a Muslim	10
10. Encourage violence among believers	11
11. Greater sympathy	
12. ISIS victims	13
13. Muslim nation participation	
14. Efficacy of air strikes only	
15. Support for sending ground troops	
16. Ruling out sending ground troops	17
17. Obama approval on ISIS	
18. Favorability of Scott Walker	
19. Scott Walker job approval - Governor	
20. Media treatment of Scott Walker	
21. Perceived Scott Walker sincerity	
22. Perry likability	
23. Scott Walker Presidential Qualifications	
24. Scott Walker share values	
25. Scott Walker cares about your problems	26
26. Scott Walker honesty	27
27. Scott Walker confidence in international crisis	28
28. Perceived Scott Walker ideology	29
29. Scott Walker - too conservative	30
30. Presidential intelligence	
31. Scott Walker's leadership abilities	32
32. Will candidate run	33
33. Scott Walker run for President	34
	35
35. Attitude on health care reform law	36
36. ACA - failure or success	37

February 28-March 2, 2015



37. Number of people with insurance	38
38. Increased price	39
39. Health insurance	40
40. Health insurance in 2013	41
41. Importance of issues	42
42. Most important issue	44
43. Respondents have a somewhat or very favorable opinion of these individuals	46
44. Respondents have a somewhat or very unfavorable opinion of these individuals	47
45. Favorability of potential Republican Presidential candidates – Jeb Bush	48
46. Favorability of potential Republican Presidential candidates – Ben Carson	49
47. Favorability of potential Republican Presidential candidates – Chris Christie	50
48. Favorability of potential Republican Presidential candidates – Ted Cruz	51
49. Favorability of potential Republican Presidential candidates – Carly Fiorina	52
50. Favorability of potential Republican Presidential candidates – Lindsey Graham	53
51. Favorability of potential Republican Presidential candidates – Mike Huckabee	54
52. Favorability of potential Republican Presidential candidates – Bobby Jindal	55
53. Favorability of potential Republican Presidential candidates – John Kasich	56
54. Favorability of potential Republican Presidential candidates – Rand Paul	57
55. Favorability of potential Republican Presidential candidates – Rick Perry	58
and the state of t	
59. Potential Republican Presidential candidates - too conservative – Ben Carson	
60. Potential Republican Presidential candidates - too conservative - Chris Christie	63
61. Potential Republican Presidential candidates - too conservative – Ted Cruz	
62. Potential Republican Presidential candidates - too conservative - Carly Fiorina	
63. Potential Republican Presidential candidates - too conservative – Lindsey Graham	
64. Potential Republican Presidential candidates - too conservative - Mike Huckabee	
65. Potential Republican Presidential candidates - too conservative – Bobby Jindal	
66. Potential Republican Presidential candidates - too conservative – John Kasich	
67. Potential Republican Presidential candidates - too conservative – Rand Paul	
68. Potential Republican Presidential candidates - too conservative – Rick Perry	
69. Potential Republican Presidential candidates - too conservative – Marco Rubio	
70. Potential Republican Presidential candidates - too conservative – Rick Santorum	
71. Favorability of potential Democratic Presidential candidates – Joe Biden	
72. Favorability of potential Democratic Presidential candidates – Hillary Clinton	
73. Favorability of potential Democratic Presidential candidates – Martin O'Malley	
74. Favorability of potential Democratic Presidential candidates – Bernie Sanders	77

February 28-March 2, 2015



	Favorability of potential Democratic Presidential candidates – Brian Schweitzer		
76.	Favorability of potential Democratic Presidential candidates – Jim Webb		79
	Potential Democratic Presidential candidates - too liberal – Joe Biden		
78.	Potential Democratic Presidential candidates - too liberal – Hillary Clinton		81
	Potential Democratic Presidential candidates - too liberal – Martin O'Malley		
	Potential Democratic Presidential candidates - too liberal – Bernie Sanders		
	Potential Democratic Presidential candidates - too liberal – Brian Schweitzer		
82.	Potential Democratic Presidential candidates - too liberal – Jim Webb		85
83.	Approval of Obama as President		86
	Obama approval on issues		
85.	Approval of U.S. Congress		89
	Better off now		
87.	Perceived Obama ideology		91
88.	Obama's leadership abilities		92
	Perceived Obama sincerity		
	Obama likeability		
91.	Which of these words to describe Barack Obama		95
92.	Respondent's ideology		97
	Voter Registration Status		
94.	Generic presidential vote intention		99
95.	Approval of specfic member	. 1	100
96.	Trend of economy	. 1	101
97.	Own Home/Rent	. 1	102
98.	Change in home value	. 1	103
	Change in area's home values		
100	Expected change in area's home values	. 1	105
	. Worry about mortgage payments		
102	Home Purchase Plans	. 1	107
103	Job availability in six months	. 1	108
	milstat		
105	Support for Tea Party movement	. 1	110
106	6. Party ID	. 1	111

February 28-March 2, 2015



1. Interest in news and public affairs

Some people seem to follow what's going on in government and public affairs most of the time, whether there's an election going on or not. Others aren't that interested. Would you say you follow what's going on in government and public affairs...?

			A	ge			R	lace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Most of the time	47%	37%	34%	53%	71%	49%	49%	38%	40%	53%	43%	47%	47%
Some of the time	31%	31%	34%	33%	19%	29%	28%	38%	39%	28%	29%	32%	32%
Only now and then	13%	15%	21%	10%	5%	13%	18%	6%	13%	11%	15%	13%	14%
Hardly at all	8%	16%	9%	4%	5%	9%	4%	12%	6%	7%	12%	7%	7%
Don't know	1%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	6%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(1,000)	(218)	(272)	(374)	(136)	(687)	(121)	(113)	(79)	(183)	(227)	(357)	(233)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income)
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Most of the time	47%	44%	48%	52%	50%	42%	51%	48%	46%	37%	51%	60%
Some of the time	31%	36%	30%	27%	29%	32%	31%	36%	26%	35%	30%	27%
Only now and then	13%	13%	14%	14%	13%	14%	12%	12%	15%	15%	12%	9%
Hardly at all	8%	7%	7%	6%	7%	10%	7%	4%	12%	12%	7%	4%
Don't know	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	2%	2%	1%	0%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(1,000)	(361)	(284)	(248)	(267)	(369)	(309)	(434)	(566)	(384)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



2. Direction of country

Would you say things in this country today are...

			Age				R	lace		Region				
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	
Generally headed in the														
right direction	30%	34%	28%	29%	27%	21%	59%	47%	35%	34%	26%	29%	31%	
Off on the wrong track	57%	46%	56%	64%	63%	69%	28%	38%	38%	52%	62%	57%	58%	
Not sure	13%	20%	16%	7%	10%	11%	13%	15%	27%	14%	13%	14%	11%	
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
(Unweighted N)	(1,000)	(218)	(272)	(374)	(136)	(687)	(121)	(113)	(79)	(183)	(227)	(357)	(233)	

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	÷
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Generally headed in the												
right direction	30%	51%	27%	10%	48%	35%	14%	31%	29%	30%	28%	34%
Off on the wrong track	57%	32%	60%	82%	33%	51%	79%	59%	55%	56%	60%	57%
Not sure	13%	16%	13%	8%	19%	14%	7%	10%	16%	14%	12%	9%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(1,000)	(361)	(284)	(248)	(267)	(369)	(309)	(434)	(566)	(384)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



3. Seriousness of threat - ISIS

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
An immediate and serious													
threat to the U.S.	58%	42%	55%	64%	74%	56%	62%	68%	53%	63%	56%	59%	56%
A somewhat serious threat													
to the U.S.	26%	36%	24%	22%	19%	26%	27%	14%	34%	18%	27%	27%	28%
A minor threat to the U.S.	9%	13%	12%	6%	5%	11%	3%	7%	7%	10%	10%	8%	9%
Not a threat to the U.S.	3%	5%	2%	4%	0%	4%	2%	1%	6%	3%	4%	2%	4%
Not sure	4%	4%	7%	4%	1%	3%	6%	10%	1%	6%	4%	4%	4%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(998)	(218)	(271)	(374)	(135)	(686)	(121)	(113)	(78)	(183)	(227)	(357)	(231)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
An immediate and serious												
threat to the U.S.	58%	57%	52%	67%	46%	55%	69%	53%	63%	58%	60%	49%
A somewhat serious threat												
to the U.S.	26%	26%	30%	20%	31%	27%	21%	27%	24%	25%	25%	32%
A minor threat to the U.S.	9%	9%	10%	10%	13%	8%	7%	12%	6%	9%	8%	12%
Not a threat to the U.S.	3%	4%	4%	0%	6%	3%	1%	5%	2%	2%	4%	3%
Not sure	4%	4%	5%	2%	4%	7%	1%	3%	5%	6%	2%	3%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(998)	(360)	(284)	(247)	(267)	(368)	(308)	(434)	(564)	(383)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



4. Seriousness of threat - Al Qaeda

		Age					R	ace		Region			
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
An immediate and serious													
threat to the U.S.	41%	20%	37%	49%	63%	41%	45%	47%	27%	42%	37%	45%	38%
A somewhat serious threat													
to the U.S.	34%	35%	35%	35%	28%	35%	28%	33%	34%	34%	35%	28%	41%
A minor threat to the U.S.	17%	30%	17%	11%	8%	17%	17%	6%	31%	16%	18%	18%	13%
Not a threat to the U.S.	3%	7%	3%	3%	0%	3%	4%	3%	7%	3%	4%	3%	2%
Not sure	5%	8%	8%	3%	1%	4%	7%	11%	2%	5%	5%	6%	5%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(998)	(218)	(270)	(374)	(136)	(686)	(121)	(113)	(78)	(183)	(226)	(357)	(232)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
An immediate and serious												
threat to the U.S.	41%	37%	41%	47%	25%	39%	52%	36%	46%	40%	41%	29%
A somewhat serious threat												
to the U.S.	34%	37%	35%	32%	42%	35%	28%	37%	31%	36%	32%	47%
A minor threat to the U.S.	17%	17%	18%	16%	23%	18%	13%	20%	13%	14%	20%	21%
Not a threat to the U.S.	3%	4%	4%	0%	5%	2%	2%	4%	2%	3%	4%	2%
Not sure	5%	5%	4%	4%	4%	6%	4%	2%	8%	7%	3%	1%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(998)	(359)	(284)	(248)	(266)	(368)	(309)	(434)	(564)	(382)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



5. Seriousness of threat - Hamas

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
An immediate and serious													
threat to the U.S.	17%	11%	9%	23%	26%	16%	20%	27%	5%	18%	17%	20%	12%
A somewhat serious threat													
to the U.S.	26%	22%	28%	28%	26%	26%	25%	25%	29%	17%	28%	29%	26%
A minor threat to the U.S.	25%	25%	26%	26%	22%	27%	20%	10%	39%	28%	21%	25%	27%
Not a threat to the U.S.	11%	20%	10%	8%	7%	12%	8%	10%	18%	22%	6%	8%	13%
Not sure	20%	23%	27%	15%	18%	19%	28%	28%	9%	15%	28%	17%	22%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(997)	(218)	(270)	(374)	(135)	(686)	(120)	(112)	(79)	(183)	(226)	(356)	(232)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	e
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
An immediate and serious												
threat to the U.S.	17%	12%	19%	24%	10%	15%	24%	16%	18%	17%	18%	8%
A somewhat serious threat												
to the U.S.	26%	27%	22%	32%	25%	20%	33%	26%	26%	22%	24%	33%
A minor threat to the U.S.	25%	28%	26%	22%	31%	26%	21%	31%	20%	24%	30%	30%
Not a threat to the U.S.	11%	11%	16%	8%	19%	12%	6%	16%	7%	10%	12%	15%
Not sure	20%	22%	17%	14%	14%	28%	16%	12%	29%	28%	15%	13%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(997)	(360)	(283)	(247)	(267)	(367)	(308)	(434)	(563)	(382)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



6. Seriousness of threat - Iran

	·	·	A	ge	·	·	R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
An immediate and serious													
threat to the U.S.	25%	16%	21%	31%	36%	27%	30%	24%	9%	26%	27%	30%	17%
A somewhat serious threat													
to the U.S.	34%	24%	41%	39%	26%	33%	28%	38%	43%	29%	34%	34%	37%
A minor threat to the U.S.	20%	27%	21%	15%	21%	21%	17%	16%	29%	21%	19%	18%	25%
Not a threat to the U.S.	9%	18%	5%	7%	7%	9%	14%	6%	10%	13%	10%	7%	9%
Not sure	11%	15%	13%	7%	10%	10%	12%	17%	8%	10%	10%	11%	12%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(996)	(217)	(269)	(374)	(136)	(686)	(119)	(113)	(78)	(183)	(225)	(356)	(232)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
An immediate and serious												
threat to the U.S.	25%	23%	23%	35%	13%	23%	35%	24%	26%	24%	21%	24%
A somewhat serious threat												
to the U.S.	34%	36%	31%	37%	34%	31%	37%	34%	34%	34%	37%	33%
A minor threat to the U.S.	20%	22%	25%	15%	31%	22%	13%	24%	17%	19%	24%	22%
Not a threat to the U.S.	9%	11%	11%	6%	13%	9%	7%	13%	6%	7%	10%	16%
Not sure	11%	8%	11%	8%	9%	15%	8%	5%	17%	16%	9%	5%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(996)	(357)	(284)	(248)	(266)	(366)	(309)	(434)	(562)	(380)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



7. Seriousness of threat - Russia

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
An immediate and serious													
threat to the U.S.	14%	7%	14%	17%	19%	12%	23%	23%	4%	20%	11%	17%	9%
A somewhat serious threat													
to the U.S.	42%	36%	41%	45%	47%	45%	32%	35%	45%	35%	39%	42%	51%
A minor threat to the U.S.	26%	35%	28%	23%	18%	26%	26%	20%	41%	26%	30%	26%	24%
Not a threat to the U.S.	9%	13%	5%	9%	5%	9%	7%	10%	5%	12%	8%	7%	9%
Not sure	9%	9%	12%	6%	10%	8%	12%	13%	5%	8%	13%	8%	7%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(998)	(217)	(271)	(374)	(136)	(687)	(119)	(113)	(79)	(183)	(226)	(356)	(233)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
An immediate and serious												
threat to the U.S.	14%	15%	14%	16%	10%	14%	17%	15%	13%	12%	15%	12%
A somewhat serious threat												
to the U.S.	42%	35%	41%	54%	34%	42%	48%	44%	41%	38%	44%	43%
A minor threat to the U.S.	26%	33%	27%	20%	41%	26%	19%	26%	27%	29%	26%	27%
Not a threat to the U.S.	9%	7%	13%	5%	7%	10%	7%	11%	6%	7%	10%	14%
Not sure	9%	9%	5%	6%	8%	9%	8%	4%	13%	14%	5%	3%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(998)	(359)	(284)	(248)	(267)	(367)	(309)	(434)	(564)	(382)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



8. Seriousness of threat – Syria

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
An immediate and serious													
threat to the U.S.	21%	15%	18%	26%	22%	18%	31%	28%	15%	25%	20%	23%	15%
A somewhat serious threat													
to the U.S.	33%	30%	36%	31%	34%	32%	21%	37%	46%	30%	31%	36%	31%
A minor threat to the U.S.	25%	24%	26%	23%	29%	27%	22%	14%	25%	21%	25%	21%	33%
Not a threat to the U.S.	11%	17%	7%	11%	9%	12%	9%	9%	11%	17%	10%	8%	12%
Not sure	11%	14%	12%	9%	6%	10%	17%	12%	2%	8%	13%	11%	9%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(995)	(217)	(268)	(374)	(136)	(686)	(120)	(110)	(79)	(182)	(225)	(355)	(233)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
An immediate and serious												
threat to the U.S.	21%	21%	18%	23%	10%	22%	27%	19%	23%	20%	19%	15%
A somewhat serious threat												
to the U.S.	33%	34%	30%	38%	34%	30%	35%	29%	36%	33%	33%	31%
A minor threat to the U.S.	25%	22%	28%	24%	30%	25%	23%	30%	19%	22%	31%	32%
Not a threat to the U.S.	11%	12%	15%	7%	16%	11%	8%	16%	6%	9%	11%	16%
Not sure	11%	11%	8%	7%	10%	13%	8%	5%	16%	16%	6%	7%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(995)	(359)	(282)	(248)	(265)	(366)	(309)	(434)	(561)	(379)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



9. Know a Muslim

Excluding yourself, do you personally know anyone who is Muslim?

			A	ge			R	lace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Yes	40%	49%	42%	34%	34%	36%	51%	34%	62%	54%	34%	40%	34%
No	53%	44%	52%	58%	56%	57%	41%	59%	36%	41%	59%	52%	58%
Not sure	7%	6%	6%	8%	10%	8%	9%	7%	2%	5%	7%	8%	8%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(998)	(217)	(272)	(373)	(136)	(686)	(120)	(113)	(79)	(182)	(226)	(357)	(233)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Yes	40%	36%	48%	33%	49%	40%	34%	41%	39%	28%	42%	58%
No	53%	55%	44%	62%	45%	52%	58%	50%	56%	62%	52%	40%
Not sure	7%	8%	9%	6%	6%	8%	7%	9%	6%	10%	5%	2%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(998)	(360)	(284)	(247)	(265)	(369)	(309)	(433)	(565)	(384)	(330)	(143)

February 28-March 2, 2015



10. Encourage violence among believers

Which statement comes closer to your own views even if neither is exactly right? (A) The Islamic religion is more likely than others to encourage violence among its believers; (B) The Islamic religion does not encourage violence more than others

			A	ge			R	lace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
A	53%	44%	55%	56%	55%	56%	39%	61%	36%	48%	56%	57%	47%
B	47%	56%	45%	44%	45%	44%	61%	39%	64%	52%	44%	43%	53%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(991)	(216)	(268)	(371)	(136)	(684)	(119)	(109)	(79)	(179)	(225)	(355)	(232)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	F	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
A B	53% 47%	43% 57%	52% 48%	71% 29%	35% 65%	44% 56%	73% 27%	56% 44%	50% 50%	51% 49%	53% 47%	49% 51%
Totals (Unweighted N)	100% (991)	100% (359)	100% (283)	100% (245)	100% (265)	100% (367)	100% (306)	100% (429)	100% (562)	100% (380)	100% (329)	100% (144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



11. Greater sympathy

In the war against terrorism, do you think most Muslims around the world are more sympathetic to the United States or the terrorists?

			A	ge			R	lace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
United States	51%	65%	46%	47%	44%	46%	67%	52%	63%	53%	52%	44%	58%
Terrorists	49%	35%	54%	53%	56%	54%	33%	48%	37%	47%	48%	56%	42%
Totals (Unweighted N)	100% (990)	100% (214)	100% (267)	100% (373)	100% (136)	100% (682)	100% (119)	100% (110)	100% (79)	100% (179)	100% (226)	100% (354)	100% (231)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
United States	51%	64%	50%	32%	65%	55%	38%	47%	54%	56%	48%	49%
Terrorists	49%	36%	50%	68%	35%	45%	62%	53%	46%	44%	52%	51%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(990)	(360)	(280)	(247)	(266)	(363)	(307)	(428)	(562)	(377)	(329)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



12. ISIS victimsDo you think most of the victims of ISIS have been Muslim, Christian, Jewish, or followers of other religions?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Muslim	31%	32%	34%	31%	26%	34%	23%	27%	29%	33%	23%	36%	32%
Christian	32%	29%	30%	32%	43%	34%	30%	32%	20%	30%	34%	32%	33%
Jewish	3%	4%	1%	3%	4%	3%	1%	2%	3%	1%	5%	3%	1%
Other religions	5%	3%	4%	9%	0%	3%	12%	5%	9%	6%	7%	3%	4%
Not sure	29%	32%	31%	25%	27%	25%	35%	34%	39%	30%	31%	26%	30%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(999)	(218)	(271)	(374)	(136)	(686)	(121)	(113)	(79)	(183)	(227)	(357)	(232)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	;
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Muslim	31%	33%	33%	28%	38%	32%	27%	38%	25%	25%	31%	42%
Christian	32%	24%	29%	50%	24%	25%	45%	33%	32%	29%	38%	33%
Jewish	3%	4%	4%	1%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%
Other religions	5%	7%	3%	4%	5%	5%	5%	3%	7%	8%	3%	3%
Not sure	29%	32%	31%	17%	30%	35%	20%	23%	34%	35%	25%	18%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(999)	(360)	(284)	(248)	(266)	(369)	(309)	(433)	(566)	(383)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



13. Muslim nation participation

Are there any predominantly Muslim countries participating in the international coalition striking ISIS targets?

			A	ge			R	lace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Yes	48%	47%	41%	51%	55%	50%	39%	40%	54%	56%	40%	53%	44%
No	7%	8%	8%	7%	5%	7%	7%	4%	10%	4%	10%	8%	6%
Not sure	45%	46%	50%	42%	41%	42%	55%	56%	36%	40%	51%	39%	51%
Totals (Unweighted N)	100% (998)	100% (217)	100% (271)	100% (374)	100% (136)	100% (686)	100% (120)	100% (113)	100% (79)	100% (183)	100% (227)	100% (356)	100% (232)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	Э
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Yes	48%	45%	53%	50%	51%	47%	49%	58%	38%	37%	51%	70%
No	7%	6%	7%	10%	7%	6%	8%	8%	6%	7%	8%	6%
Not sure	45%	49%	40%	39%	42%	47%	44%	34%	55%	56%	41%	24%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(998)	(360)	(284)	(247)	(266)	(368)	(309)	(433)	(565)	(383)	(331)	(143)

February 28-March 2, 2015



14. Efficacy of air strikes only

Do you think it is possible for the United States to effectively fight ISIS using air strikes only, without sending ground troops?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Yes	23%	24%	22%	25%	18%	21%	19%	28%	41%	26%	20%	24%	22%
No	51%	44%	48%	52%	64%	55%	48%	39%	38%	48%	46%	57%	49%
Don't know	26%	32%	30%	23%	18%	24%	33%	32%	21%	26%	34%	18%	29%
Totals (Unweighted N)	100% (996)	100% (218)	100% (272)	100% (372)	100% (134)	100% (685)	100% (121)	100% (112)	100% (78)	100% (182)	100% (227)	100% (355)	100% (232)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	÷
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Yes	23%	28%	20%	18%	29%	23%	20%	23%	23%	26%	22%	20%
No	51%	43%	51%	63%	43%	45%	62%	56%	46%	48%	52%	57%
Don't know	26%	29%	29%	19%	28%	32%	18%	20%	32%	26%	26%	24%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(996)	(359)	(284)	(247)	(267)	(367)	(308)	(432)	(564)	(383)	(330)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



15. Support for sending ground troops
Would you support or oppose the United States sending ground troops to fight ISIS?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Strongly support	23%	10%	24%	27%	30%	23%	16%	27%	19%	20%	21%	26%	20%
Somewhat support	30%	32%	31%	25%	35%	31%	28%	28%	26%	32%	27%	30%	31%
Somewhat oppose	20%	31%	15%	21%	11%	19%	29%	17%	24%	17%	24%	20%	20%
Strongly oppose	16%	12%	12%	21%	16%	16%	15%	13%	23%	18%	16%	13%	18%
Not sure	11%	15%	18%	5%	7%	11%	12%	15%	8%	13%	12%	11%	11%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(998)	(217)	(271)	(374)	(136)	(685)	(121)	(113)	(79)	(182)	(227)	(356)	(233)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Strongly support	23%	17%	21%	31%	13%	19%	32%	23%	22%	23%	22%	14%
Somewhat support	30%	27%	29%	38%	27%	29%	33%	31%	29%	31%	34%	28%
Somewhat oppose	20%	22%	23%	16%	25%	22%	16%	22%	19%	16%	21%	30%
Strongly oppose	16%	21%	16%	7%	25%	17%	9%	15%	17%	16%	14%	22%
Not sure	11%	13%	11%	8%	11%	13%	10%	8%	14%	15%	9%	5%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(998)	(361)	(283)	(247)	(267)	(367)	(309)	(434)	(564)	(384)	(331)	(142)

February 28-March 2, 2015



16. Ruling out sending ground troops
Do you think the US should completely rule out sending ground troops to fight ISIS in countries in the Middle East, or should they keep the option open?

Asked of those who oppose sending ground troops or aren't sure

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Already supports sending													
ground troops	52%	42%	55%	53%	65%	54%	46%	55%	45%	52%	48%	57%	51%
Completely rule out	13%	14%	8%	17%	14%	14%	8%	12%	19%	18%	14%	11%	14%
Keep the option open	25%	28%	27%	27%	15%	24%	39%	21%	22%	24%	27%	25%	25%
Don't know	9%	16%	10%	4%	6%	8%	7%	12%	14%	6%	11%	7%	10%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(996)	(216)	(270)	(374)	(136)	(685)	(120)	(112)	(79)	(182)	(226)	(355)	(233)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Already supports sending												
ground troops	52%	44%	50%	69%	40%	49%	65%	55%	50%	54%	57%	43%
Completely rule out	13%	18%	12%	7%	22%	12%	9%	12%	15%	14%	11%	17%
Keep the option open	25%	28%	32%	16%	26%	31%	20%	27%	24%	22%	26%	33%
Don't know	9%	10%	6%	8%	13%	8%	6%	7%	11%	11%	6%	7%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(996)	(361)	(281)	(247)	(267)	(365)	(309)	(433)	(563)	(383)	(330)	(142)

February 28-March 2, 2015



17. Obama approval on ISIS

Do you approve or disapprove of the way President Obama is handling ISIS?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Strongly approve	12%	5%	12%	15%	16%	9%	21%	21%	5%	14%	9%	11%	13%
Somewhat approve	26%	37%	28%	22%	17%	20%	45%	28%	44%	27%	29%	27%	21%
Somewhat disapprove	16%	22%	15%	16%	9%	16%	12%	18%	19%	15%	14%	16%	20%
Strongly disapprove	30%	16%	25%	37%	49%	40%	4%	16%	15%	30%	31%	33%	27%
Not sure	15%	19%	20%	11%	9%	14%	17%	17%	16%	14%	17%	13%	18%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(996)	(217)	(271)	(372)	(136)	(685)	(120)	(112)	(79)	(183)	(227)	(354)	(232)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	.
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Strongly approve	12%	20%	12%	2%	18%	14%	6%	11%	12%	12%	10%	11%
Somewhat approve	26%	47%	20%	10%	48%	26%	13%	32%	21%	27%	25%	28%
Somewhat disapprove	16%	13%	18%	19%	16%	17%	16%	14%	18%	13%	20%	25%
Strongly disapprove	30%	3%	33%	62%	2%	24%	55%	33%	28%	25%	35%	26%
Not sure	15%	18%	17%	8%	16%	18%	10%	10%	20%	22%	10%	10%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(996)	(359)	(283)	(247)	(266)	(367)	(308)	(432)	(564)	(381)	(330)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



18. Favorability of Scott Walker

Do you have a favorable or an unfavorable opinion of Scott Walker?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Very favorable	13%	9%	6%	14%	25%	15%	5%	11%	5%	10%	15%	12%	13%
Somewhat favorable	13%	12%	12%	16%	11%	13%	11%	21%	8%	14%	15%	13%	11%
Somewhat unfavorable	10%	11%	11%	11%	7%	9%	15%	13%	13%	8%	11%	12%	10%
Very unfavorable	19%	20%	19%	19%	20%	19%	24%	11%	22%	21%	20%	19%	18%
Don't know	44%	48%	52%	39%	37%	43%	45%	44%	51%	47%	39%	44%	48%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(993)	(217)	(270)	(372)	(134)	(684)	(120)	(112)	(77)	(181)	(224)	(357)	(231)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	13%	3%	10%	29%	3%	4%	27%	15%	10%	9%	15%	9%
Somewhat favorable	13%	5%	13%	23%	5%	9%	23%	16%	11%	11%	19%	12%
Somewhat unfavorable	10%	13%	14%	6%	9%	15%	7%	11%	10%	10%	12%	14%
Very unfavorable	19%	32%	21%	5%	42%	20%	5%	22%	17%	14%	20%	29%
Don't know	44%	47%	42%	37%	40%	52%	38%	35%	53%	56%	33%	36%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(993)	(359)	(282)	(245)	(264)	(367)	(307)	(430)	(563)	(380)	(329)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



19. Scott Walker job approval - Governor

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Scott Walker is handling his job as the Governor of Wisconsin?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Approve strongly	18%	12%	10%	22%	31%	21%	5%	20%	6%	19%	18%	17%	18%
Approve somewhat	12%	12%	12%	15%	8%	12%	6%	18%	14%	9%	15%	14%	9%
Disapprove somewhat	8%	5%	12%	9%	6%	7%	14%	9%	9%	6%	7%	12%	6%
Disapprove strongly	18%	17%	16%	18%	20%	19%	20%	6%	22%	18%	22%	15%	17%
Not sure	44%	54%	49%	36%	36%	41%	55%	46%	50%	48%	38%	42%	50%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(997)	(218)	(271)	(372)	(136)	(685)	(120)	(113)	(79)	(183)	(227)	(355)	(232)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	F	amily Income)
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Approve strongly	18%	1%	17%	40%	1%	7%	39%	20%	15%	11%	23%	16%
Approve somewhat	12%	5%	12%	21%	6%	12%	17%	15%	10%	11%	14%	14%
Disapprove somewhat	8%	15%	8%	2%	11%	9%	6%	8%	9%	8%	10%	11%
Disapprove strongly	18%	32%	18%	3%	41%	16%	5%	22%	14%	15%	19%	23%
Not sure	44%	48%	46%	34%	40%	56%	33%	36%	52%	55%	34%	36%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(997)	(360)	(283)	(247)	(267)	(367)	(308)	(432)	(565)	(383)	(330)	(143)

February 28-March 2, 2015



20. Media treatment of Scott Walker

Compared to the way they treat other public figures, do you think the news media has been harder or easier on Scott Walker, or have they treated him the same as other public figures?

			А	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Harder	20%	10%	13%	27%	31%	24%	6%	18%	12%	13%	23%	22%	18%
About the same	37%	41%	36%	35%	38%	36%	48%	37%	35%	41%	37%	39%	32%
Easier	9%	9%	7%	10%	8%	9%	5%	10%	12%	10%	9%	7%	9%
Not sure	34%	40%	44%	28%	23%	32%	41%	36%	41%	37%	30%	31%	41%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(993)	(218)	(270)	(370)	(135)	(684)	(119)	(113)	(77)	(180)	(226)	(356)	(231)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	Э
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Harder	20%	5%	20%	39%	4%	10%	40%	26%	14%	13%	25%	18%
About the same	37%	48%	32%	33%	41%	42%	32%	35%	39%	36%	42%	38%
Easier	9%	10%	11%	5%	18%	7%	4%	11%	6%	5%	10%	17%
Not sure	34%	36%	37%	23%	37%	41%	24%	27%	41%	46%	23%	27%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(993)	(359)	(281)	(247)	(266)	(364)	(309)	(430)	(563)	(382)	(329)	(142)

February 28-March 2, 2015



21. Perceived Scott Walker sincerity

Do you think Scott Walker...

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Says what he believes Says what he thinks people	32%	24%	20%	39%	47%	35%	22%	30%	25%	25%	34%	37%	29%
want to hear	27%	27%	28%	26%	24%	26%	32%	26%	24%	36%	28%	23%	22%
Not sure	42%	49%	52%	35%	28%	39%	47%	44%	51%	39%	39%	40%	49%
Totals (Unweighted N)	100% (998)	100% (218)	100% (271)	100% (373)	100% (136)	100% (686)	100% (120)	100% (113)	100% (79)	100% (183)	100% (227)	100% (356)	100% (232)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Says what he believes	32%	20%	26%	55%	19%	20%	52%	34%	30%	26%	41%	32%
Says what he thinks people												
want to hear	27%	35%	31%	17%	38%	31%	16%	30%	24%	25%	28%	27%
Not sure	42%	45%	44%	28%	43%	49%	32%	37%	46%	49%	32%	41%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(998)	(360)	(284)	(247)	(267)	(367)	(309)	(432)	(566)	(384)	(330)	(143)

February 28-March 2, 2015



22. Perry likability

Regardless of whether you agree with him, do you like Scott Walker as a person?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Like a lot	14%	7%	9%	16%	29%	17%	4%	15%	7%	15%	11%	13%	17%
Like somewhat	17%	21%	10%	21%	14%	16%	14%	23%	19%	19%	23%	16%	10%
Dislike	20%	17%	20%	20%	22%	20%	23%	13%	19%	20%	20%	18%	21%
Not sure	49%	54%	61%	44%	35%	47%	59%	49%	55%	46%	46%	52%	52%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(996)	(218)	(270)	(372)	(136)	(684)	(120)	(113)	(79)	(183)	(227)	(356)	(230)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Like a lot	14%	1%	12%	34%	2%	6%	30%	16%	12%	10%	18%	13%
Like somewhat	17%	12%	17%	22%	12%	15%	23%	20%	14%	16%	19%	16%
Dislike	20%	32%	23%	4%	41%	20%	6%	22%	17%	16%	21%	24%
Not sure	49%	55%	48%	40%	45%	60%	41%	42%	56%	58%	42%	47%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(996)	(359)	(283)	(247)	(266)	(367)	(308)	(431)	(565)	(383)	(329)	(143)

February 28-March 2, 2015



23. Scott Walker Presidential Qualifications

Regardless of your overall opinion of Scott Walker, do you think he has the qualifications to be President?

		A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
25%	19%	16%	30%	36%	28%	12%	29%	17%	27%	23%	22%	29%
36%	34%	36%	34%	42%	35%	45%	31%	37%	39%	37%	36%	32%
39%	46%	48%	36%	21%	37%	44%	40%	46%	34%	41%	41%	39%
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100% (231)
	25% 36% 39%	25% 19% 36% 34% 39% 46% 100% 100%	Total 18-29 30-44 25% 19% 16% 36% 34% 36% 39% 46% 48% 100% 100% 100%	25% 19% 16% 30% 36% 34% 36% 34% 39% 46% 48% 36% 100% 100% 100% 100%	Total 18-29 30-44 45-64 65+ 25% 19% 16% 30% 36% 36% 34% 36% 34% 42% 39% 46% 48% 36% 21% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	Total 18-29 30-44 45-64 65+ White 25% 19% 16% 30% 36% 28% 36% 34% 36% 34% 42% 35% 39% 46% 48% 36% 21% 37% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	Total 18-29 30-44 45-64 65+ White Black 25% 19% 16% 30% 36% 28% 12% 36% 34% 36% 34% 42% 35% 45% 39% 46% 48% 36% 21% 37% 44% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	Total 18-29 30-44 45-64 65+ White Black Hispanic 25% 19% 16% 30% 36% 28% 12% 29% 36% 34% 36% 34% 42% 35% 45% 31% 39% 46% 48% 36% 21% 37% 44% 40% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	Total 18-29 30-44 45-64 65+ White Black Hispanic Other 25% 19% 16% 30% 36% 28% 12% 29% 17% 36% 34% 36% 34% 42% 35% 45% 31% 37% 39% 46% 48% 36% 21% 37% 44% 40% 46% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	Total 18-29 30-44 45-64 65+ White Black Hispanic Other Northeast 25% 19% 16% 30% 36% 28% 12% 29% 17% 27% 36% 34% 36% 34% 42% 35% 45% 31% 37% 39% 39% 46% 48% 36% 21% 37% 44% 40% 46% 34% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	Total 18-29 30-44 45-64 65+ White Black Hispanic Other Northeast Midwest 25% 19% 16% 30% 36% 28% 12% 29% 17% 27% 23% 36% 34% 36% 34% 42% 35% 45% 31% 37% 39% 37% 39% 46% 48% 36% 21% 37% 44% 40% 46% 34% 41% 100%	Total 18-29 30-44 45-64 65+ White Black Hispanic Other Northeast Midwest South 25% 19% 16% 30% 36% 28% 12% 29% 17% 27% 23% 22% 36% 34% 36% 34% 42% 35% 45% 31% 37% 39% 37% 36% 39% 46% 48% 36% 21% 37% 44% 40% 46% 34% 41% 41% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Yes	25%	7%	25%	50%	8%	15%	45%	31%	19%	18%	31%	27%
No	36%	55%	33%	20%	57%	38%	22%	35%	36%	35%	39%	37%
Not sure	39%	39%	43%	30%	35%	47%	33%	33%	45%	47%	29%	36%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(995)	(359)	(284)	(246)	(267)	(367)	(308)	(431)	(564)	(383)	(330)	(142)

February 28-March 2, 2015



24. Scott Walker share values

Regardless of your overall opinion of Scott Walker, do you think he shares the values most people try to live by?

			A	ge			R	lace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Yes	28%	23%	16%	37%	38%	31%	18%	30%	24%	25%	27%	31%	28%
No	26%	25%	26%	26%	31%	25%	34%	24%	27%	32%	29%	22%	26%
Not sure	45%	52%	58%	37%	31%	44%	48%	46%	49%	43%	44%	46%	46%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(985)	(215)	(265)	(370)	(135)	(678)	(117)	(111)	(79)	(181)	(222)	(353)	(229)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Yes	28%	9%	27%	55%	10%	16%	52%	32%	25%	20%	36%	27%
No	26%	41%	27%	12%	44%	31%	12%	30%	23%	25%	29%	29%
Not sure	45%	50%	46%	33%	46%	53%	36%	38%	52%	55%	35%	44%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(985)	(356)	(279)	(245)	(263)	(362)	(307)	(427)	(558)	(378)	(328)	(141)

February 28-March 2, 2015



25. Scott Walker cares about your problems

How much do you think Scott Walker cares about the needs and problems of people like yourself?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
A lot	19%	13%	11%	24%	30%	22%	4%	23%	9%	13%	21%	20%	20%
Some	30%	35%	35%	27%	18%	29%	30%	33%	27%	26%	32%	28%	32%
Not much	25%	28%	25%	23%	24%	23%	29%	19%	39%	33%	20%	27%	19%
Not at all	27%	23%	29%	27%	28%	25%	37%	25%	26%	28%	26%	25%	29%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(971)	(215)	(263)	(360)	(133)	(670)	(112)	(110)	(79)	(178)	(224)	(345)	(224)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
A lot	19%	3%	20%	37%	5%	10%	37%	24%	14%	17%	20%	12%
Some	30%	20%	30%	37%	18%	31%	36%	27%	32%	32%	31%	29%
Not much	25%	36%	19%	16%	28%	30%	18%	22%	27%	24%	23%	35%
Not at all	27%	42%	31%	9%	49%	30%	9%	28%	26%	27%	26%	24%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(971)	(350)	(278)	(241)	(261)	(357)	(301)	(421)	(550)	(374)	(321)	(140)

February 28-March 2, 2015



26. Scott Walker honesty

Do you think Scott Walker has more or less honesty and integrity, or about the same level of honesty and integrity as most people in public life?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
More honesty	19%	11%	9%	24%	35%	23%	4%	18%	9%	14%	20%	19%	21%
Same level of honesty	29%	34%	30%	29%	17%	28%	34%	24%	33%	33%	27%	28%	27%
Less honesty	19%	16%	18%	21%	20%	18%	23%	22%	17%	20%	20%	18%	18%
Not sure	34%	40%	43%	26%	29%	32%	39%	36%	41%	34%	34%	35%	35%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(995)	(218)	(269)	(372)	(136)	(686)	(119)	(111)	(79)	(182)	(226)	(355)	(232)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
More honesty	19%	3%	15%	42%	3%	7%	40%	23%	14%	11%	22%	21%
Same level of honesty	29%	29%	27%	28%	32%	30%	25%	29%	28%	30%	31%	27%
Less honesty	19%	30%	21%	6%	35%	20%	8%	21%	17%	18%	18%	24%
Not sure	34%	38%	37%	25%	30%	43%	27%	27%	41%	41%	28%	27%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(995)	(358)	(283)	(247)	(266)	(365)	(309)	(430)	(565)	(384)	(329)	(143)

February 28-March 2, 2015



27. Scott Walker confidence in international crisis

Are you confident in Scott Walker's ability to deal wisely with an international crisis, or are you uneasy about his approach?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Confident	22%	20%	13%	26%	30%	23%	16%	25%	16%	19%	25%	21%	23%
Uneasy	35%	34%	37%	34%	34%	34%	45%	29%	34%	41%	34%	34%	32%
Not sure	43%	46%	50%	40%	36%	43%	39%	46%	49%	40%	40%	46%	45%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(993)	(217)	(269)	(372)	(135)	(683)	(119)	(112)	(79)	(183)	(226)	(353)	(231)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Confident	22%	3%	22%	44%	6%	11%	43%	28%	16%	17%	28%	20%
Uneasy	35%	52%	35%	18%	55%	38%	20%	36%	33%	30%	40%	42%
Not sure	43%	45%	43%	38%	39%	51%	37%	36%	50%	53%	32%	38%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(993)	(358)	(282)	(247)	(265)	(364)	(309)	(431)	(562)	(383)	(328)	(142)

February 28-March 2, 2015



28. Perceived Scott Walker ideology Would you say Scott Walker is...

			A	ge			R	lace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Very liberal	1%	2%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	3%	1%	0%	0%
Liberal	4%	7%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	6%	5%	6%	3%	1%
Moderate	10%	12%	12%	9%	6%	8%	15%	16%	7%	12%	10%	10%	8%
Conservative	27%	22%	19%	34%	34%	30%	15%	24%	27%	19%	22%	33%	31%
Very conservative	21%	17%	18%	23%	27%	21%	25%	16%	16%	27%	22%	16%	21%
Not sure	38%	39%	48%	31%	32%	36%	41%	40%	43%	34%	39%	38%	39%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(996)	(218)	(270)	(373)	(135)	(683)	(121)	(113)	(79)	(183)	(226)	(357)	(230)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	÷
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very liberal	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%
Liberal	4%	5%	1%	6%	4%	2%	5%	4%	3%	4%	5%	3%
Moderate	10%	7%	9%	15%	9%	12%	9%	10%	10%	7%	14%	7%
Conservative	27%	17%	26%	41%	14%	16%	46%	30%	24%	21%	32%	32%
Very conservative	21%	28%	26%	11%	38%	20%	11%	26%	16%	17%	21%	27%
Not sure	38%	43%	37%	26%	35%	49%	27%	29%	46%	50%	27%	31%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(996)	(360)	(283)	(247)	(266)	(367)	(308)	(432)	(564)	(383)	(329)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



29. Scott Walker - too conservative

Do you think Scott Walker is too conservative or not conservative enough?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Too conservative	25%	28%	22%	27%	21%	24%	32%	25%	26%	35%	23%	23%	24%
About right	26%	19%	20%	33%	36%	29%	19%	26%	22%	20%	30%	26%	28%
Not conservative enough	7%	7%	5%	8%	6%	8%	1%	6%	2%	6%	7%	8%	4%
Don't know	42%	46%	53%	33%	37%	39%	48%	44%	50%	39%	40%	42%	45%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(998)	(218)	(270)	(374)	(136)	(686)	(120)	(113)	(79)	(183)	(227)	(356)	(232)

		Party ID				Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Too conservative	25%	40%	30%	6%	50%	26%	9%	29%	22%	20%	28%	36%
About right	26%	10%	22%	52%	9%	15%	48%	31%	22%	21%	33%	20%
Not conservative enough	7%	3%	6%	11%	3%	4%	11%	9%	5%	7%	6%	10%
Don't know	42%	46%	42%	31%	38%	55%	31%	31%	52%	52%	33%	34%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(998)	(361)	(284)	(247)	(266)	(368)	(309)	(433)	(565)	(384)	(330)	(143)

February 28-March 2, 2015



30. Presidential intelligence How intelligent is Scott Walker?

		Age					R	ace		Region				
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	
Very intelligent	23%	19%	13%	30%	33%	26%	12%	27%	18%	22%	23%	24%	23%	
Somewhat intelligent	22%	20%	23%	21%	21%	21%	25%	20%	21%	29%	21%	21%	18%	
Not very intelligent	9%	14%	7%	9%	7%	10%	9%	5%	8%	7%	11%	8%	10%	
Not intelligent at all	7%	7%	8%	6%	6%	6%	9%	6%	10%	7%	10%	6%	4%	
Not sure	39%	39%	49%	34%	34%	37%	45%	41%	44%	35%	35%	41%	45%	
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
(Unweighted N)	(992)	(217)	(268)	(371)	(136)	(684)	(117)	(112)	(79)	(182)	(225)	(352)	(233)	

		Party ID			Ideology			Ge	nder	Family Income		
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very intelligent	23%	6%	25%	43%	6%	13%	45%	28%	19%	20%	28%	19%
Somewhat intelligent	22%	27%	14%	25%	21%	24%	20%	22%	21%	21%	23%	25%
Not very intelligent	9%	14%	9%	5%	18%	9%	4%	10%	8%	7%	10%	13%
Not intelligent at all	7%	9%	9%	3%	17%	5%	2%	8%	5%	4%	7%	9%
Not sure	39%	44%	42%	25%	38%	50%	28%	31%	47%	48%	32%	34%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(992)	(358)	(282)	(246)	(266)	(364)	(308)	(431)	(561)	(381)	(330)	(142)

February 28-March 2, 2015



31. Scott Walker's leadership abilities

Would you say Scott Walker is a strong or a weak leader?

		Age					R	ace		Region			
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Very strong	20%	16%	11%	24%	29%	22%	6%	26%	9%	15%	19%	19%	24%
Somewhat strong	37%	38%	43%	35%	32%	36%	44%	33%	41%	41%	34%	40%	33%
Somewhat weak	29%	34%	28%	28%	24%	28%	30%	31%	30%	31%	28%	30%	27%
Very weak	14%	12%	18%	13%	14%	13%	20%	10%	20%	13%	19%	11%	16%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(953)	(211)	(253)	(357)	(132)	(661)	(106)	(110)	(76)	(173)	(221)	(341)	(218)

			Party ID			Ideology			nder	F	Family Income	
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very strong	20%	4%	20%	37%	6%	8%	39%	23%	16%	18%	20%	13%
Somewhat strong	37%	35%	36%	42%	30%	43%	36%	37%	38%	37%	40%	37%
Somewhat weak	29%	43%	23%	18%	39%	31%	21%	26%	31%	32%	24%	35%
Very weak	14%	19%	21%	3%	25%	18%	4%	14%	15%	13%	15%	14%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(953)	(341)	(275)	(239)	(258)	(351)	(294)	(417)	(536)	(365)	(320)	(141)

February 28-March 2, 2015



32. Will candidate run

Do you think Scott Walker will run for President in 2016?

		Age					R	lace		Region				
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	
Yes	39%	33%	28%	48%	49%	43%	26%	35%	37%	41%	38%	38%	41%	
No	13%	19%	14%	9%	10%	12%	15%	17%	15%	13%	17%	14%	8%	
Not sure	48%	48%	57%	44%	41%	45%	59%	49%	48%	46%	45%	48%	50%	
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
(Unweighted N)	(994)	(215)	(270)	(373)	(136)	(684)	(119)	(112)	(79)	(181)	(223)	(357)	(233)	

		Party ID				Ideology			nder	Fa	•	
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Yes	39%	29%	39%	56%	36%	29%	52%	48%	31%	29%	43%	54%
No	13%	20%	10%	8%	17%	13%	10%	11%	14%	14%	15%	13%
Not sure	48%	51%	51%	35%	47%	57%	38%	41%	54%	57%	42%	34%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(994)	(358)	(283)	(247)	(264)	(366)	(309)	(430)	(564)	(380)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



33. Scott Walker run for President

Do you want Scott Walker to run for President in 2016?

		Age					R	lace		Region				
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	
Yes	22%	15%	15%	27%	34%	24%	18%	20%	18%	19%	18%	22%	28%	
No	35%	35%	34%	35%	38%	33%	43%	30%	42%	41%	37%	36%	27%	
Not sure	43%	50%	51%	38%	28%	43%	39%	50%	40%	41%	44%	42%	45%	
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
(Unweighted N)	(984)	(215)	(269)	(366)	(134)	(676)	(116)	(113)	(79)	(180)	(220)	(353)	(231)	

		Party ID				Ideology		Ge	nder	Family Income		
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Yes	22%	6%	20%	45%	8%	11%	42%	27%	17%	17%	25%	22%
No	35%	52%	35%	16%	53%	40%	19%	37%	33%	34%	37%	41%
Not sure	43%	41%	45%	39%	38%	50%	39%	36%	50%	49%	38%	37%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(984)	(353)	(279)	(245)	(264)	(363)	(303)	(428)	(556)	(378)	(328)	(143)

February 28-March 2, 2015



34. Likely winHow likely is it that Scott Walker will win if he chooses to run for President in 2016?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Very likely	6%	3%	3%	9%	9%	6%	2%	12%	6%	6%	3%	6%	8%
Somewhat likely	21%	28%	14%	21%	24%	22%	28%	16%	14%	17%	27%	20%	21%
Not very likely	18%	17%	20%	20%	12%	19%	11%	18%	26%	22%	17%	21%	12%
Not likely at all	18%	15%	16%	20%	24%	19%	24%	12%	12%	21%	21%	15%	18%
Not sure	36%	37%	47%	31%	30%	35%	36%	42%	41%	34%	32%	38%	41%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(997)	(218)	(271)	(372)	(136)	(684)	(121)	(113)	(79)	(182)	(227)	(357)	(231)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very likely	6%	2%	5%	12%	2%	3%	12%	5%	6%	4%	8%	5%
Somewhat likely	21%	13%	21%	32%	16%	12%	34%	26%	17%	20%	23%	21%
Not very likely	18%	22%	15%	19%	27%	16%	15%	19%	18%	14%	19%	30%
Not likely at all	18%	28%	21%	6%	26%	24%	8%	19%	17%	17%	19%	19%
Not sure	36%	35%	38%	31%	29%	44%	32%	30%	42%	44%	30%	25%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(997)	(360)	(284)	(247)	(265)	(369)	(308)	(434)	(563)	(382)	(330)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



35. Attitude on health care reform law

Do you think the health care reform law should be expanded, kept the same, or repealed?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Expanded	31%	36%	26%	33%	26%	28%	48%	31%	32%	41%	28%	29%	29%
Kept the same	18%	20%	23%	13%	15%	12%	34%	29%	22%	16%	23%	18%	13%
Repealed	41%	28%	40%	46%	51%	52%	7%	26%	27%	36%	39%	45%	42%
Not sure	11%	16%	11%	7%	8%	9%	12%	14%	19%	7%	10%	9%	16%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(999)	(217)	(272)	(374)	(136)	(687)	(121)	(112)	(79)	(183)	(227)	(357)	(232)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Expanded	31%	51%	32%	5%	57%	31%	14%	33%	29%	27%	33%	46%
Kept the same	18%	25%	14%	13%	23%	21%	12%	18%	18%	21%	12%	16%
Repealed	41%	9%	45%	75%	10%	37%	65%	41%	41%	38%	47%	35%
Not sure	11%	14%	9%	7%	10%	12%	9%	8%	13%	14%	8%	3%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(999)	(361)	(284)	(248)	(267)	(368)	(309)	(434)	(565)	(384)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



36. ACA - failure or successFrom all that you know now, has the health care reform law been a complete success, a complete failure, or something in between?

			A	ge			R	lace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
A complete failure	17%	10%	18%	21%	19%	22%	1%	15%	8%	14%	20%	18%	16%
More of a failure than a													
success	25%	21%	23%	28%	28%	31%	7%	14%	17%	18%	25%	28%	26%
Equal amount of both failure													
and success	18%	26%	17%	15%	16%	15%	21%	26%	30%	30%	18%	14%	17%
More of a success than a													
failure	26%	23%	26%	26%	30%	22%	41%	26%	35%	30%	24%	26%	24%
A complete success	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%	11%	3%	2%	2%	3%	4%	6%
Too early to tell	4%	4%	7%	3%	3%	4%	10%	5%	2%	2%	3%	6%	5%
Not sure	5%	11%	4%	4%	0%	3%	9%	10%	6%	4%	7%	4%	6%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(996)	(217)	(270)	(373)	(136)	(685)	(120)	(112)	(79)	(183)	(226)	(354)	(233)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	F	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
A complete failure	17%	2%	21%	34%	3%	12%	32%	21%	14%	12%	19%	20%
More of a failure than a												
success	25%	10%	27%	40%	12%	25%	34%	24%	26%	24%	30%	21%
Equal amount of both failure												
and success	18%	22%	21%	11%	16%	24%	15%	16%	21%	21%	20%	16%
More of a success than a												
failure	26%	49%	20%	7%	53%	25%	9%	26%	26%	23%	24%	37%
A complete success	4%	4%	4%	2%	7%	4%	2%	5%	2%	5%	3%	5%
Too early to tell	4%	7%	3%	3%	6%	5%	2%	4%	4%	7%	3%	1%
Not sure	5%	6%	4%	3%	3%	4%	7%	3%	7%	9%	2%	0%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(996)	(358)	(284)	(247)	(267)	(367)	(307)	(433)	(563)	(381)	(330)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



37. Number of people with insurance

Do you think the number of people with health insurance has increased, remained the same, or decreased over the last 12 months?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Increased	55%	50%	52%	58%	62%	52%	66%	55%	60%	61%	48%	54%	58%
Remained the same	18%	19%	23%	14%	17%	19%	19%	14%	15%	13%	25%	18%	15%
Decreased	15%	13%	11%	18%	16%	16%	4%	20%	14%	16%	12%	15%	17%
Don't know	12%	19%	13%	10%	6%	13%	11%	11%	11%	10%	16%	13%	10%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(1,000)	(218)	(272)	(374)	(136)	(687)	(121)	(113)	(79)	(183)	(227)	(357)	(233)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Increased	55%	77%	56%	33%	77%	59%	38%	58%	52%	54%	52%	66%
Remained the same	18%	10%	17%	27%	11%	17%	24%	18%	18%	17%	20%	16%
Decreased	15%	4%	15%	23%	4%	14%	23%	15%	14%	15%	17%	10%
Don't know	12%	9%	12%	16%	8%	11%	16%	9%	16%	14%	10%	8%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(1,000)	(361)	(284)	(248)	(267)	(369)	(309)	(434)	(566)	(384)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



38. Increased price

Do you think the Affordable Care Act, also known as Obamacare, has caused health insurance prices for most people to increase by more or less than they usually do? (A) The law has caused health insurance prices for most people to increase more than they usually do; (B) The law has not had an effect on health insurance prices for most people; (C) The law has caused health insurance prices for most people to increase less than they usually do; (D) Not sure

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
A	49%	37%	47%	55%	59%	58%	23%	36%	35%	38%	51%	54%	50%
В	18%	25%	19%	14%	16%	13%	36%	30%	20%	24%	15%	19%	16%
С	17%	21%	15%	17%	10%	17%	12%	15%	25%	16%	17%	16%	19%
D	16%	17%	19%	14%	15%	12%	30%	19%	20%	22%	17%	12%	16%
Totals (Unweighted N)	100% (997)	100% (218)	100% (269)	100% (374)	100% (136)	100% (686)	100% (120)	100% (112)	100% (79)	100% (183)	100% (226)	100% (355)	100% (233)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	3
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
A	49%	24%	54%	73%	25%	42%	72%	46%	52%	49%	53%	40%
В	18%	30%	18%	6%	28%	21%	10%	20%	16%	13%	22%	25%
С	17%	23%	16%	12%	31%	17%	7%	19%	14%	16%	14%	26%
D	16%	23%	13%	9%	17%	20%	11%	14%	18%	22%	11%	9%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(997)	(359)	(284)	(247)	(267)	(368)	(307)	(432)	(565)	(383)	(331)	(143)

February 28-March 2, 2015



39. Health insurance

Do you have health insurance?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Yes	88%	80%	86%	90%	97%	90%	84%	78%	86%	91%	92%	84%	86%
No	12%	20%	14%	10%	3%	10%	16%	22%	14%	9%	8%	16%	14%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(999)	(217)	(272)	(374)	(136)	(687)	(121)	(112)	(79)	(182)	(227)	(357)	(233)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Yes	88%	88%	90%	87%	91%	85%	88%	86%	89%	83%	89%	99%
No	12%	12%	10%	13%	9%	15%	12%	14%	11%	17%	11%	1%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(999)	(360)	(284)	(248)	(267)	(368)	(309)	(434)	(565)	(384)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



40. Health insurance in 2013

Did you have health insurance for all of 2013?

			A	ge			R	lace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Yes	80%	68%	74%	85%	98%	83%	78%	70%	73%	89%	84%	78%	72%
No	20%	32%	26%	15%	2%	17%	22%	30%	27%	11%	16%	22%	28%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(999)	(218)	(272)	(373)	(136)	(687)	(120)	(113)	(79)	(183)	(226)	(357)	(233)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Yes	80%	84%	78%	84%	75%	81%	83%	77%	83%	71%	85%	92%
No	20%	16%	22%	16%	25%	19%	17%	23%	17%	29%	15%	8%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(999)	(361)	(283)	(248)	(267)	(368)	(309)	(433)	(566)	(384)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



41. Importance of issues

How important are the following issues to you?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
The economy	94%	88%	93%	98%	98%	95%	91%	96%	94%	95%	94%	95%	94%
Immigration	84%	77%	81%	86%	92%	84%	85%	79%	81%	80%	78%	89%	85%
The environment	78%	76%	78%	81%	77%	77%	85%	79%	84%	80%	73%	79%	82%
Terrorism	89%	81%	87%	92%	97%	89%	85%	96%	84%	91%	88%	87%	91%
Gay rights	50%	64%	42%	48%	45%	48%	43%	59%	63%	59%	46%	44%	55%
Education	89%	90%	88%	87%	90%	88%	85%	96%	90%	93%	82%	89%	91%
Health care	89%	84%	88%	91%	93%	89%	81%	97%	91%	95%	88%	84%	92%
Social security	87%	74%	81%	94%	98%	87%	82%	92%	85%	92%	85%	87%	83%
The budget deficit	83%	71%	82%	87%	92%	84%	78%	87%	76%	81%	79%	85%	84%
The war in Afghanistan	73%	61%	71%	79%	82%	76%	64%	69%	67%	73%	72%	72%	75%
Taxes	88%	78%	90%	92%	92%	89%	85%	89%	86%	90%	85%	91%	85%
Medicare	83%	69%	77%	91%	99%	84%	75%	96%	77%	91%	79%	81%	85%
Abortion	66%	75%	61%	63%	68%	64%	69%	77%	67%	77%	62%	64%	65%
Foreign policy	81%	67%	75%	89%	95%	82%	73%	83%	80%	82%	75%	80%	85%
Totals	(997)	(216)	(271)	(374)	(136)	(686)	(121)	(111)	(79)	(183)	(226)	(355)	(233)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	F	amily Income	Э
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
The economy	94%	94%	94%	95%	93%	94%	95%	95%	93%	97%	93%	95%
Immigration	84%	84%	83%	84%	81%	83%	86%	81%	86%	83%	84%	81%
The environment	78%	92%	77%	65%	92%	84%	64%	75%	82%	82%	75%	83%
Terrorism	89%	86%	89%	93%	78%	90%	94%	85%	92%	87%	92%	87%
Gay rights	50%	68%	51%	29%	76%	53%	31%	44%	55%	54%	44%	55%
Education	89%	89%	88%	86%	91%	89%	87%	86%	90%	88%	87%	89%
Health care	89%	91%	94%	83%	92%	91%	85%	87%	91%	90%	87%	89%
Social security	87%	87%	86%	85%	81%	87%	90%	86%	88%	89%	86%	83%
The budget deficit	83%	80%	82%	87%	72%	84%	89%	80%	85%	86%	83%	80%
The war in Afghanistan	73%	72%	69%	79%	65%	69%	81%	68%	77%	74%	74%	69%
Taxes	88%	86%	90%	88%	83%	90%	90%	86%	90%	88%	90%	84%
Medicare	83%	86%	82%	81%	82%	81%	87%	82%	85%	89%	79%	75%
Abortion	66%	72%	59%	67%	73%	60%	67%	60%	72%	69%	62%	63%
Foreign policy	81%	83%	80%	79%	78%	81%	83%	80%	81%	78%	85%	80%

continued on the next page ...

February 28-March 2, 2015



					C	continued fror	n previous pa	ge				
			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Totals	(997)	(361)	(282)	(248)	(266)	(367)	(309)	(434)	(563)	(382)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



42. Most important issue

Which of these is the **most** important issue for you?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
The economy	22%	23%	24%	24%	13%	18%	28%	31%	32%	19%	18%	23%	26%
Immigration	8%	8%	7%	7%	10%	9%	2%	11%	2%	8%	7%	9%	7%
The environment	7%	9%	8%	4%	10%	6%	11%	7%	9%	5%	5%	7%	12%
Terrorism	9%	3%	6%	13%	12%	9%	17%	5%	1%	8%	7%	13%	5%
Gay rights	3%	5%	5%	1%	1%	3%	0%	4%	5%	2%	1%	3%	4%
Education	10%	20%	16%	4%	0%	9%	7%	19%	14%	17%	9%	10%	5%
Health care	7%	5%	11%	7%	4%	7%	8%	2%	9%	4%	13%	5%	6%
Social security	13%	3%	5%	21%	23%	15%	11%	9%	9%	19%	11%	14%	9%
The budget deficit	5%	4%	4%	6%	6%	6%	4%	4%	2%	3%	6%	4%	8%
The war in Afghanistan	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Taxes	5%	4%	4%	6%	3%	6%	2%	2%	1%	4%	7%	2%	6%
Medicare	4%	2%	2%	3%	11%	3%	4%	4%	5%	8%	5%	2%	1%
Abortion	6%	12%	8%	2%	2%	6%	4%	3%	8%	3%	9%	5%	6%
Foreign policy	2%	0%	2%	2%	6%	3%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	3%	4%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(950)	(198)	(249)	(367)	(136)	(657)	(113)	(108)	(72)	(177)	(212)	(342)	(219)

February 28-March 2, 2015



		·	Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	Э
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
The economy	22%	22%	23%	20%	19%	23%	23%	26%	18%	18%	26%	25%
Immigration	8%	3%	7%	14%	6%	6%	11%	8%	8%	6%	8%	12%
The environment	7%	12%	8%	2%	14%	8%	2%	6%	8%	6%	8%	10%
Terrorism	9%	9%	7%	12%	1%	9%	13%	6%	11%	8%	10%	8%
Gay rights	3%	5%	3%	1%	9%	1%	0%	3%	2%	3%	1%	4%
Education	10%	11%	10%	8%	13%	13%	5%	10%	10%	9%	8%	16%
Health care	7%	9%	7%	4%	10%	7%	5%	6%	8%	6%	5%	6%
Social security	13%	15%	14%	9%	11%	14%	13%	11%	15%	22%	9%	5%
The budget deficit	5%	1%	7%	6%	3%	3%	9%	7%	3%	3%	6%	3%
The war in Afghanistan	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Taxes	5%	3%	6%	5%	3%	5%	5%	6%	3%	2%	9%	5%
Medicare	4%	3%	4%	5%	5%	4%	2%	2%	5%	6%	2%	2%
Abortion	6%	6%	3%	9%	5%	5%	7%	5%	7%	8%	5%	3%
Foreign policy	2%	1%	2%	5%	1%	1%	4%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(950)	(341)	(273)	(238)	(255)	(346)	(301)	(415)	(535)	(357)	(319)	(141)

February 28-March 2, 2015



43. Respondents have a somewhat or very favorable opinion of these individuals Do you have a favorable or an unfavorable opinion of the following people?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Barack Obama	50%	59%	51%	47%	44%	40%	84%	65%	66%	54%	51%	48%	51%
John Boehner	25%	22%	21%	27%	35%	24%	19%	35%	30%	28%	24%	26%	24%
Mitch McConnell	24%	17%	22%	28%	30%	25%	21%	30%	16%	25%	24%	26%	20%
Harry Reid	24%	26%	19%	23%	32%	21%	34%	22%	35%	34%	24%	21%	21%
Nancy Pelosi	32%	33%	28%	34%	32%	26%	60%	32%	38%	42%	27%	29%	33%
Totals	(992)	(213)	(269)	(374)	(136)	(683)	(118)	(112)	(79)	(182)	(224)	(353)	(233)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Barack Obama	50%	89%	42%	14%	84%	53%	26%	48%	53%	55%	46%	51%
John Boehner	25%	15%	19%	43%	13%	21%	38%	26%	25%	26%	28%	16%
Mitch McConnell	24%	14%	21%	40%	11%	22%	36%	27%	22%	20%	31%	20%
Harry Reid	24%	40%	20%	11%	44%	24%	12%	25%	23%	24%	26%	24%
Nancy Pelosi	32%	54%	28%	10%	58%	34%	15%	31%	33%	33%	33%	36%
Totals	(992)	(359)	(282)	(246)	(266)	(364)	(308)	(433)	(559)	(380)	(329)	(143)

February 28-March 2, 2015



44. Respondents have a somewhat or very unfavorable opinion of these individuals Do you have a favorable or an unfavorable opinion of the following people?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Barack Obama	47%	37%	45%	52%	56%	59%	10%	29%	34%	44%	46%	50%	46%
John Boehner	53%	49%	50%	57%	55%	57%	56%	32%	46%	57%	47%	56%	51%
Mitch McConnell	45%	45%	36%	48%	56%	47%	49%	34%	44%	55%	40%	47%	41%
Harry Reid	45%	33%	36%	55%	55%	51%	37%	33%	26%	42%	42%	49%	44%
Nancy Pelosi	50%	40%	45%	54%	60%	59%	18%	38%	35%	42%	51%	55%	46%
Totals	(992)	(213)	(269)	(374)	(136)	(683)	(118)	(112)	(79)	(182)	(224)	(353)	(233)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Barack Obama	47%	9%	56%	85%	12%	44%	73%	50%	44%	40%	54%	48%
John Boehner	53%	61%	64%	37%	67%	55%	43%	61%	46%	46%	52%	72%
Mitch McConnell	45%	54%	52%	33%	59%	49%	34%	51%	40%	42%	43%	61%
Harry Reid	45%	25%	53%	63%	25%	42%	61%	53%	37%	38%	49%	58%
Nancy Pelosi	50%	22%	56%	78%	24%	44%	71%	55%	45%	45%	54%	54%
Totals	(992)	(359)	(282)	(246)	(266)	(364)	(308)	(433)	(559)	(380)	(329)	(143)

February 28-March 2, 2015



45. Favorability of potential Republican Presidential candidates – Jeb Bush

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Very favorable	7%	5%	5%	7%	13%	6%	2%	16%	9%	11%	6%	6%	6%
Somewhat favorable	26%	18%	28%	28%	32%	31%	18%	17%	14%	23%	26%	29%	26%
Somewhat unfavorable	26%	24%	19%	28%	31%	26%	26%	21%	25%	28%	23%	25%	28%
Very unfavorable	26%	24%	25%	29%	22%	23%	38%	22%	33%	26%	27%	26%	24%
Don't know	16%	29%	22%	8%	2%	14%	16%	24%	19%	13%	18%	15%	17%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(975)	(206)	(263)	(371)	(135)	(673)	(117)	(106)	(79)	(180)	(219)	(346)	(230)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	.
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	7%	1%	5%	15%	3%	5%	11%	7%	7%	6%	10%	2%
Somewhat favorable	26%	18%	28%	38%	18%	24%	35%	29%	24%	26%	26%	34%
Somewhat unfavorable	26%	24%	31%	23%	28%	23%	27%	25%	26%	22%	29%	32%
Very unfavorable	26%	41%	23%	12%	38%	29%	15%	27%	25%	25%	24%	26%
Don't know	16%	16%	13%	12%	13%	19%	12%	12%	19%	21%	11%	7%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(975)	(352)	(277)	(244)	(259)	(358)	(306)	(426)	(549)	(373)	(326)	(142)

February 28-March 2, 2015



46. Favorability of potential Republican Presidential candidates – Ben Carson Do you have a favorable or an unfavorable opinion of the following people?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Very favorable	17%	7%	12%	23%	27%	19%	11%	19%	9%	19%	17%	17%	14%
Somewhat favorable	12%	6%	11%	15%	14%	12%	7%	16%	14%	10%	8%	16%	11%
Somewhat unfavorable	11%	20%	7%	9%	6%	9%	15%	15%	14%	14%	12%	11%	7%
Very unfavorable	13%	14%	14%	12%	13%	11%	25%	9%	14%	13%	8%	14%	16%
Don't know	48%	54%	56%	41%	39%	49%	42%	42%	49%	44%	54%	42%	51%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(968)	(205)	(263)	(370)	(130)	(669)	(116)	(104)	(79)	(178)	(217)	(345)	(228)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	.
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	17%	4%	16%	33%	4%	9%	32%	19%	15%	14%	18%	16%
Somewhat favorable	12%	4%	15%	16%	3%	13%	16%	12%	12%	12%	11%	12%
Somewhat unfavorable	11%	12%	14%	7%	11%	15%	7%	13%	8%	10%	14%	9%
Very unfavorable	13%	24%	13%	2%	29%	13%	4%	14%	12%	9%	14%	20%
Don't know	48%	57%	42%	41%	53%	50%	40%	42%	53%	56%	43%	42%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(968)	(350)	(275)	(242)	(257)	(356)	(303)	(421)	(547)	(369)	(326)	(141)

February 28-March 2, 2015



47. Favorability of potential Republican Presidential candidates – Chris Christie

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Very favorable	7%	6%	8%	6%	7%	5%	6%	15%	10%	12%	7%	5%	4%
Somewhat favorable	23%	17%	22%	22%	36%	23%	26%	26%	17%	21%	24%	24%	23%
Somewhat unfavorable	24%	20%	20%	29%	24%	27%	21%	14%	18%	30%	22%	24%	20%
Very unfavorable	25%	22%	24%	27%	25%	26%	24%	18%	23%	25%	23%	26%	24%
Don't know	22%	34%	26%	16%	8%	19%	23%	26%	33%	13%	24%	20%	29%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(969)	(205)	(261)	(371)	(132)	(669)	(117)	(104)	(79)	(179)	(219)	(342)	(229)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	.
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	7%	5%	4%	12%	6%	4%	9%	7%	6%	8%	7%	2%
Somewhat favorable	23%	19%	21%	29%	16%	23%	28%	23%	23%	20%	32%	18%
Somewhat unfavorable	24%	20%	30%	25%	25%	20%	27%	27%	21%	21%	23%	36%
Very unfavorable	25%	35%	25%	14%	37%	27%	16%	27%	23%	20%	23%	34%
Don't know	22%	22%	19%	20%	16%	26%	20%	16%	27%	31%	15%	9%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(969)	(351)	(275)	(241)	(256)	(355)	(306)	(424)	(545)	(371)	(324)	(140)

February 28-March 2, 2015



48. Favorability of potential Republican Presidential candidates – Ted Cruz

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Very favorable	11%	7%	11%	12%	18%	10%	10%	22%	11%	12%	9%	14%	9%
Somewhat favorable	20%	19%	16%	22%	22%	22%	7%	20%	15%	23%	22%	18%	18%
Somewhat unfavorable	10%	8%	7%	13%	12%	10%	12%	7%	12%	7%	11%	10%	11%
Very unfavorable	26%	28%	24%	26%	25%	25%	34%	23%	26%	38%	15%	27%	26%
Don't know	33%	38%	42%	28%	23%	33%	37%	27%	36%	21%	44%	30%	36%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(971)	(205)	(262)	(370)	(134)	(670)	(116)	(107)	(78)	(179)	(219)	(344)	(229)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	11%	4%	8%	23%	8%	2%	22%	12%	11%	9%	13%	7%
Somewhat favorable	20%	10%	20%	32%	8%	18%	29%	24%	15%	17%	24%	21%
Somewhat unfavorable	10%	11%	13%	7%	11%	10%	9%	9%	11%	11%	11%	11%
Very unfavorable	26%	39%	31%	9%	45%	32%	9%	30%	22%	19%	27%	45%
Don't know	33%	36%	28%	29%	28%	37%	31%	25%	40%	45%	26%	16%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(971)	(352)	(275)	(242)	(259)	(358)	(302)	(423)	(548)	(371)	(327)	(141)

February 28-March 2, 2015



49. Favorability of potential Republican Presidential candidates – Carly Fiorina

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Very favorable	6%	2%	4%	9%	7%	6%	3%	13%	5%	6%	4%	8%	5%
Somewhat favorable	14%	13%	10%	17%	18%	15%	12%	21%	9%	17%	15%	14%	13%
Somewhat unfavorable	11%	10%	12%	11%	9%	11%	11%	6%	12%	12%	7%	14%	10%
Very unfavorable	12%	10%	12%	13%	13%	11%	19%	8%	14%	12%	9%	13%	15%
Don't know	57%	65%	62%	49%	52%	57%	55%	52%	59%	53%	65%	51%	58%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(966)	(205)	(261)	(369)	(131)	(667)	(116)	(104)	(79)	(179)	(218)	(341)	(228)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	;
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	6%	1%	8%	10%	3%	5%	9%	5%	6%	4%	10%	5%
Somewhat favorable	14%	6%	14%	24%	5%	10%	25%	17%	12%	13%	14%	15%
Somewhat unfavorable	11%	12%	13%	9%	14%	13%	7%	13%	9%	9%	12%	11%
Very unfavorable	12%	18%	13%	4%	20%	15%	5%	14%	10%	8%	14%	22%
Don't know	57%	63%	52%	53%	58%	58%	54%	51%	62%	65%	51%	46%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(966)	(351)	(275)	(238)	(257)	(355)	(302)	(423)	(543)	(371)	(323)	(140)

February 28-March 2, 2015



50. Favorability of potential Republican Presidential candidates – Lindsey Graham

			A	ge			R	lace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Very favorable	5%	1%	5%	5%	7%	3%	6%	14%	5%	5%	2%	6%	5%
Somewhat favorable	16%	8%	14%	19%	22%	16%	16%	20%	5%	17%	15%	16%	15%
Somewhat unfavorable	15%	21%	8%	15%	15%	15%	13%	8%	23%	16%	13%	19%	11%
Very unfavorable	21%	21%	23%	22%	18%	21%	26%	16%	23%	24%	20%	19%	24%
Don't know	44%	48%	50%	39%	39%	45%	40%	43%	44%	38%	49%	41%	46%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(963)	(202)	(261)	(368)	(132)	(667)	(114)	(104)	(78)	(177)	(218)	(341)	(227)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income)
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	5%	2%	5%	9%	2%	3%	7%	6%	3%	4%	7%	0%
Somewhat favorable	16%	8%	15%	22%	8%	13%	24%	17%	14%	15%	16%	15%
Somewhat unfavorable	15%	13%	16%	17%	16%	14%	15%	18%	12%	12%	17%	23%
Very unfavorable	21%	29%	25%	9%	35%	22%	12%	23%	19%	16%	20%	33%
Don't know	44%	48%	39%	43%	39%	47%	42%	36%	52%	52%	40%	28%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(963)	(349)	(274)	(239)	(255)	(356)	(300)	(420)	(543)	(366)	(325)	(141)

February 28-March 2, 2015



51. Favorability of potential Republican Presidential candidates – Mike Huckabee

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Very favorable	15%	7%	12%	13%	32%	17%	8%	14%	7%	17%	17%	14%	10%
Somewhat favorable	21%	16%	15%	28%	21%	23%	15%	19%	14%	17%	19%	26%	19%
Somewhat unfavorable	15%	17%	13%	19%	7%	14%	17%	18%	20%	18%	12%	15%	16%
Very unfavorable	24%	24%	27%	21%	24%	22%	36%	18%	21%	30%	21%	22%	24%
Don't know	26%	35%	33%	18%	16%	23%	24%	32%	38%	18%	30%	23%	31%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(965)	(204)	(258)	(369)	(134)	(667)	(117)	(104)	(77)	(177)	(216)	(344)	(228)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	.
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	15%	3%	10%	35%	2%	7%	29%	15%	14%	11%	20%	8%
Somewhat favorable	21%	12%	23%	29%	10%	19%	29%	22%	20%	19%	23%	22%
Somewhat unfavorable	15%	16%	18%	11%	17%	16%	14%	16%	15%	16%	14%	22%
Very unfavorable	24%	38%	25%	7%	47%	29%	5%	26%	21%	19%	24%	36%
Don't know	26%	31%	24%	19%	24%	29%	22%	21%	30%	35%	20%	12%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(965)	(348)	(274)	(242)	(256)	(353)	(305)	(422)	(543)	(370)	(323)	(140)

February 28-March 2, 2015



52. Favorability of potential Republican Presidential candidates – Bobby Jindal

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Very favorable	9%	5%	5%	11%	16%	10%	2%	14%	5%	10%	9%	9%	9%
Somewhat favorable	17%	13%	10%	19%	27%	18%	14%	13%	15%	10%	20%	19%	15%
Somewhat unfavorable	11%	14%	8%	14%	8%	11%	10%	17%	10%	19%	6%	12%	10%
Very unfavorable	17%	16%	19%	17%	18%	14%	36%	10%	22%	19%	14%	18%	19%
Don't know	46%	51%	58%	40%	31%	47%	37%	46%	48%	42%	51%	42%	48%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(963)	(201)	(261)	(370)	(131)	(665)	(116)	(105)	(77)	(180)	(215)	(342)	(226)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	.
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	9%	3%	10%	16%	5%	5%	16%	10%	8%	9%	10%	11%
Somewhat favorable	17%	9%	14%	28%	5%	10%	29%	21%	12%	13%	18%	14%
Somewhat unfavorable	11%	10%	17%	9%	11%	14%	9%	13%	10%	10%	14%	17%
Very unfavorable	17%	30%	19%	4%	36%	20%	4%	21%	14%	12%	18%	25%
Don't know	46%	49%	40%	44%	43%	50%	42%	35%	55%	56%	40%	33%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(963)	(348)	(276)	(237)	(255)	(355)	(302)	(418)	(545)	(367)	(324)	(140)

February 28-March 2, 2015



53. Favorability of potential Republican Presidential candidates – John Kasich Do you have a favorable or an unfavorable opinion of the following people?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Very favorable	5%	2%	3%	6%	10%	5%	1%	10%	5%	5%	7%	4%	4%
Somewhat favorable	16%	11%	12%	19%	21%	17%	18%	11%	5%	16%	20%	14%	13%
Somewhat unfavorable	9%	10%	7%	10%	8%	8%	8%	18%	10%	11%	4%	13%	8%
Very unfavorable	10%	9%	10%	11%	9%	9%	18%	5%	14%	10%	10%	10%	10%
Don't know	60%	68%	67%	54%	52%	62%	55%	55%	65%	58%	59%	59%	66%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(959)	(200)	(263)	(367)	(129)	(659)	(117)	(105)	(78)	(179)	(216)	(341)	(223)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	.
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	5%	1%	5%	9%	2%	2%	9%	7%	3%	3%	7%	6%
Somewhat favorable	16%	9%	18%	23%	6%	13%	24%	17%	14%	13%	15%	13%
Somewhat unfavorable	9%	10%	9%	9%	11%	8%	9%	9%	9%	8%	12%	10%
Very unfavorable	10%	17%	11%	2%	16%	12%	4%	12%	8%	8%	11%	15%
Don't know	60%	63%	58%	58%	65%	64%	54%	54%	66%	68%	54%	56%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(959)	(350)	(271)	(237)	(256)	(352)	(301)	(416)	(543)	(364)	(323)	(139)

February 28-March 2, 2015



54. Favorability of potential Republican Presidential candidates – Rand Paul Do you have a favorable or an unfavorable opinion of the following people?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Very favorable	10%	10%	9%	10%	11%	10%	8%	13%	7%	11%	11%	10%	8%
Somewhat favorable	25%	18%	24%	29%	27%	28%	16%	19%	22%	28%	23%	25%	23%
Somewhat unfavorable	18%	18%	9%	20%	26%	18%	18%	15%	19%	15%	14%	23%	16%
Very unfavorable	20%	20%	19%	21%	22%	19%	28%	20%	22%	28%	18%	17%	22%
Don't know	27%	34%	39%	21%	14%	25%	31%	33%	31%	19%	35%	25%	30%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(962)	(201)	(261)	(370)	(130)	(663)	(116)	(105)	(78)	(179)	(214)	(342)	(227)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	.
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	10%	2%	11%	18%	5%	5%	17%	12%	8%	11%	9%	7%
Somewhat favorable	25%	12%	24%	38%	9%	24%	36%	27%	22%	22%	28%	25%
Somewhat unfavorable	18%	18%	21%	15%	21%	16%	17%	20%	15%	15%	21%	22%
Very unfavorable	20%	36%	22%	5%	40%	23%	7%	21%	20%	16%	20%	35%
Don't know	27%	32%	22%	24%	25%	32%	23%	20%	34%	36%	22%	11%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(962)	(349)	(275)	(236)	(256)	(354)	(301)	(420)	(542)	(366)	(324)	(139)

February 28-March 2, 2015



55. Favorability of potential Republican Presidential candidates – Rick Perry

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Very favorable	9%	4%	8%	10%	13%	10%	5%	10%	6%	8%	8%	10%	8%
Somewhat favorable	21%	19%	18%	23%	27%	23%	17%	25%	12%	20%	24%	23%	18%
Somewhat unfavorable	14%	14%	7%	19%	15%	14%	13%	11%	16%	15%	13%	15%	13%
Very unfavorable	26%	26%	26%	26%	24%	25%	33%	25%	23%	35%	21%	26%	23%
Don't know	30%	37%	41%	23%	20%	29%	31%	30%	42%	22%	34%	26%	38%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(964)	(202)	(259)	(370)	(133)	(665)	(116)	(105)	(78)	(178)	(214)	(344)	(228)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	F	amily Income)
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	9%	2%	8%	17%	4%	3%	17%	11%	7%	8%	9%	6%
Somewhat favorable	21%	10%	17%	37%	10%	18%	33%	24%	19%	18%	24%	19%
Somewhat unfavorable	14%	14%	18%	13%	10%	15%	16%	15%	13%	14%	15%	16%
Very unfavorable	26%	40%	29%	7%	49%	30%	7%	28%	23%	18%	28%	47%
Don't know	30%	33%	27%	26%	28%	34%	27%	22%	38%	42%	25%	13%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(964)	(348)	(275)	(239)	(256)	(354)	(303)	(420)	(544)	(367)	(324)	(140)

February 28-March 2, 2015



56. Favorability of potential Republican Presidential candidates – Marco Rubio

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Very favorable	12%	3%	11%	14%	22%	12%	4%	26%	6%	17%	9%	12%	10%
Somewhat favorable	21%	20%	18%	22%	26%	22%	19%	22%	10%	14%	24%	25%	17%
Somewhat unfavorable	15%	19%	8%	15%	22%	15%	13%	14%	23%	20%	12%	16%	13%
Very unfavorable	18%	17%	19%	22%	13%	17%	26%	17%	21%	25%	14%	20%	17%
Don't know	33%	41%	44%	28%	16%	33%	38%	21%	41%	23%	41%	27%	43%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(963)	(203)	(261)	(368)	(131)	(667)	(114)	(105)	(77)	(179)	(216)	(342)	(226)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	F	amily Income)
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	12%	3%	12%	24%	5%	8%	20%	14%	10%	8%	13%	11%
Somewhat favorable	21%	13%	21%	30%	12%	16%	31%	23%	18%	19%	23%	24%
Somewhat unfavorable	15%	17%	18%	11%	20%	15%	13%	16%	15%	15%	16%	20%
Very unfavorable	18%	32%	19%	6%	34%	24%	5%	21%	16%	15%	21%	25%
Don't know	33%	35%	31%	29%	29%	38%	31%	25%	41%	43%	27%	19%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(963)	(345)	(276)	(240)	(255)	(353)	(304)	(419)	(544)	(367)	(322)	(140)

February 28-March 2, 2015



57. Favorability of potential Republican Presidential candidates – Rick Santorum

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Very favorable	8%	3%	8%	10%	11%	8%	6%	14%	6%	15%	6%	8%	6%
Somewhat favorable	20%	15%	14%	22%	33%	21%	18%	20%	16%	17%	20%	23%	19%
Somewhat unfavorable	16%	19%	10%	16%	17%	15%	12%	17%	19%	19%	14%	18%	11%
Very unfavorable	24%	24%	25%	25%	19%	24%	27%	20%	26%	27%	19%	24%	26%
Don't know	32%	38%	43%	27%	19%	32%	38%	29%	33%	22%	40%	28%	39%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(955)	(202)	(259)	(364)	(130)	(658)	(114)	(106)	(77)	(179)	(212)	(339)	(225)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	.
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	8%	3%	9%	13%	6%	4%	14%	10%	7%	9%	8%	6%
Somewhat favorable	20%	11%	17%	33%	9%	13%	34%	24%	17%	17%	24%	16%
Somewhat unfavorable	16%	14%	16%	19%	15%	16%	16%	15%	17%	16%	17%	23%
Very unfavorable	24%	38%	25%	8%	47%	27%	7%	27%	21%	17%	27%	36%
Don't know	32%	34%	33%	28%	23%	40%	29%	26%	39%	42%	26%	20%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(955)	(348)	(269)	(237)	(257)	(349)	(298)	(417)	(538)	(362)	(320)	(139)

February 28-March 2, 2015



58. Potential Republican Presidential candidates - too conservative – Jeb Bush

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Too conservative	25%	27%	24%	29%	17%	23%	30%	24%	36%	31%	24%	19%	30%
About right	28%	26%	30%	28%	27%	28%	29%	30%	23%	29%	25%	29%	28%
Not conservative enough	19%	11%	13%	23%	31%	24%	9%	9%	6%	15%	24%	21%	14%
Don't know	28%	36%	33%	20%	24%	24%	33%	37%	35%	25%	27%	31%	27%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(955)	(202)	(258)	(363)	(132)	(663)	(111)	(104)	(77)	(175)	(215)	(338)	(227)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Too conservative	25%	45%	27%	4%	56%	23%	9%	28%	23%	25%	25%	29%
About right	28%	19%	29%	39%	19%	32%	31%	30%	26%	22%	33%	36%
Not conservative enough	19%	7%	19%	32%	3%	12%	35%	23%	15%	16%	20%	20%
Don't know	28%	29%	24%	25%	22%	33%	25%	19%	36%	37%	22%	15%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(955)	(343)	(272)	(239)	(253)	(350)	(301)	(417)	(538)	(366)	(321)	(138)

February 28-March 2, 2015



59. Potential Republican Presidential candidates - too conservative - Ben Carson

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Too conservative	17%	22%	12%	17%	13%	15%	24%	10%	24%	21%	12%	14%	20%
About right	26%	14%	25%	31%	37%	28%	21%	33%	14%	26%	28%	29%	22%
Not conservative enough	5%	9%	5%	4%	4%	5%	6%	6%	4%	5%	5%	5%	7%
Don't know	52%	54%	58%	48%	45%	51%	49%	51%	58%	48%	54%	52%	51%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(957)	(203)	(260)	(364)	(130)	(664)	(112)	(103)	(78)	(177)	(217)	(336)	(227)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Too conservative	17%	25%	20%	6%	34%	18%	5%	20%	13%	12%	17%	22%
About right	26%	10%	25%	46%	9%	19%	45%	31%	22%	21%	33%	24%
Not conservative enough	5%	4%	7%	6%	3%	5%	8%	7%	4%	7%	5%	5%
Don't know	52%	61%	47%	43%	55%	58%	42%	42%	61%	60%	45%	49%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(957)	(345)	(273)	(238)	(254)	(352)	(300)	(420)	(537)	(367)	(322)	(138)

February 28-March 2, 2015



60. Potential Republican Presidential candidates - too conservative - Chris Christie

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Too conservative	19%	25%	15%	21%	16%	19%	17%	12%	33%	24%	21%	14%	22%
About right	28%	29%	33%	27%	23%	26%	34%	41%	19%	30%	26%	30%	27%
Not conservative enough	20%	11%	14%	24%	30%	25%	10%	8%	8%	19%	18%	24%	15%
Don't know	33%	35%	38%	28%	31%	29%	39%	39%	40%	28%	34%	32%	36%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(956)	(200)	(260)	(364)	(132)	(666)	(111)	(101)	(78)	(177)	(215)	(336)	(228)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Too conservative	19%	36%	19%	5%	47%	16%	6%	22%	17%	19%	19%	25%
About right	28%	26%	28%	32%	26%	31%	28%	31%	26%	22%	35%	35%
Not conservative enough	20%	5%	22%	36%	1%	12%	39%	24%	15%	15%	21%	23%
Don't know	33%	33%	31%	27%	25%	41%	27%	23%	42%	44%	25%	18%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(956)	(345)	(271)	(239)	(253)	(352)	(300)	(420)	(536)	(367)	(321)	(138)

February 28-March 2, 2015



61. Potential Republican Presidential candidates - too conservative - Ted Cruz

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Too conservative	30%	34%	25%	32%	29%	30%	30%	31%	33%	39%	23%	29%	32%
About right	27%	23%	24%	29%	32%	28%	26%	26%	19%	28%	32%	26%	21%
Not conservative enough	5%	3%	6%	6%	6%	5%	7%	5%	3%	5%	4%	5%	6%
Don't know	38%	40%	45%	34%	32%	37%	37%	38%	45%	27%	41%	39%	40%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(957)	(203)	(260)	(363)	(131)	(662)	(112)	(105)	(78)	(177)	(216)	(338)	(226)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Too conservative	30%	42%	34%	18%	51%	32%	16%	35%	25%	19%	36%	53%
About right	27%	11%	28%	44%	13%	21%	42%	30%	24%	25%	28%	21%
Not conservative enough	5%	4%	5%	6%	3%	5%	7%	6%	4%	6%	6%	5%
Don't know	38%	43%	32%	33%	33%	42%	35%	28%	47%	50%	30%	20%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(957)	(346)	(274)	(236)	(254)	(352)	(300)	(419)	(538)	(369)	(321)	(137)

February 28-March 2, 2015



62. Potential Republican Presidential candidates - too conservative - Carly Fiorina

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Too conservative	14%	15%	12%	17%	14%	14%	18%	12%	19%	14%	9%	15%	20%
About right	19%	16%	17%	21%	21%	18%	13%	32%	13%	19%	21%	21%	13%
Not conservative enough	7%	4%	8%	8%	8%	8%	11%	3%	1%	9%	7%	7%	6%
Don't know	60%	65%	63%	54%	58%	60%	58%	54%	68%	58%	63%	57%	61%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(955)	(202)	(259)	(363)	(131)	(662)	(112)	(103)	(78)	(176)	(214)	(338)	(227)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Too conservative	14%	22%	18%	3%	30%	16%	3%	18%	11%	11%	16%	19%
About right	19%	9%	20%	29%	7%	14%	31%	20%	17%	15%	22%	17%
Not conservative enough	7%	4%	6%	12%	2%	6%	12%	7%	7%	6%	10%	6%
Don't know	60%	65%	56%	55%	61%	64%	54%	55%	65%	68%	52%	58%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(955)	(344)	(273)	(237)	(254)	(351)	(299)	(419)	(536)	(368)	(322)	(136)

February 28-March 2, 2015



63. Potential Republican Presidential candidates - too conservative – Lindsey Graham

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Too conservative	24%	29%	21%	24%	20%	21%	32%	25%	31%	35%	15%	24%	24%
About right	17%	17%	15%	18%	17%	15%	22%	26%	13%	19%	19%	14%	16%
Not conservative enough	12%	8%	9%	14%	21%	16%	4%	8%	6%	11%	12%	15%	11%
Don't know	47%	46%	54%	44%	43%	48%	42%	42%	51%	36%	54%	47%	49%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(952)	(203)	(258)	(361)	(130)	(660)	(111)	(103)	(78)	(176)	(213)	(336)	(227)

		Party ID				Ideology		Ge	Gender Family Inc			
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Too conservative	24%	36%	28%	9%	48%	25%	9%	27%	21%	17%	24%	43%
About right	17%	8%	22%	22%	10%	16%	22%	19%	14%	14%	21%	13%
Not conservative enough	12%	5%	10%	24%	3%	6%	24%	16%	9%	12%	11%	12%
Don't know	47%	51%	40%	45%	39%	53%	45%	37%	56%	57%	43%	32%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(952)	(347)	(269)	(235)	(253)	(350)	(298)	(416)	(536)	(367)	(319)	(137)

February 28-March 2, 2015



64. Potential Republican Presidential candidates - too conservative - Mike Huckabee

		Age					R	ace		Region				
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	
Too conservative	29%	28%	29%	30%	28%	29%	33%	23%	33%	38%	23%	26%	34%	
About right	28%	23%	23%	31%	37%	31%	23%	22%	21%	27%	34%	28%	24%	
Not conservative enough	7%	8%	6%	8%	6%	7%	8%	9%	1%	5%	7%	10%	5%	
Don't know	36%	42%	41%	31%	29%	33%	36%	46%	45%	30%	37%	37%	38%	
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
(Unweighted N)	(958)	(202)	(260)	(364)	(132)	(665)	(112)	(103)	(78)	(178)	(215)	(337)	(228)	

		Party ID				Ideology		Ge	Gender Family Incom				
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+	
Too conservative	29%	42%	36%	13%	51%	35%	12%	33%	26%	22%	31%	49%	
About right	28%	11%	28%	50%	13%	22%	44%	32%	24%	23%	34%	24%	
Not conservative enough	7%	4%	7%	8%	2%	3%	13%	7%	7%	8%	7%	5%	
Don't know	36%	43%	29%	29%	35%	40%	31%	28%	43%	46%	28%	22%	
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
(Unweighted N)	(958)	(344)	(273)	(240)	(253)	(352)	(302)	(420)	(538)	(368)	(322)	(138)	

February 28-March 2, 2015



65. Potential Republican Presidential candidates - too conservative – Bobby Jindal

		Age					R	ace		Region				
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	
Too conservative	19%	20%	16%	19%	20%	17%	29%	12%	24%	22%	13%	20%	21%	
About right	27%	25%	20%	30%	34%	28%	21%	33%	21%	27%	35%	25%	23%	
Not conservative enough	5%	5%	6%	4%	4%	4%	9%	4%	3%	7%	4%	6%	2%	
Don't know	49%	50%	57%	46%	42%	50%	42%	51%	53%	44%	48%	50%	54%	
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
(Unweighted N)	(942)	(197)	(258)	(362)	(125)	(649)	(113)	(102)	(78)	(173)	(210)	(337)	(222)	

		Party ID				Ideology		Ge	nder	Family Income		
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Too conservative	19%	31%	20%	5%	41%	19%	5%	22%	16%	14%	19%	29%
About right	27%	11%	32%	40%	13%	20%	42%	35%	20%	22%	31%	27%
Not conservative enough	5%	3%	5%	7%	1%	6%	6%	4%	5%	7%	4%	3%
Don't know	49%	54%	43%	48%	45%	54%	47%	39%	59%	56%	46%	41%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(942)	(343)	(268)	(230)	(251)	(346)	(294)	(411)	(531)	(363)	(315)	(136)

February 28-March 2, 2015



66. Potential Republican Presidential candidates - too conservative - John Kasich

		Age					R	ace		Region				
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	
Too conservative	12%	14%	9%	12%	12%	10%	21%	8%	15%	9%	11%	10%	16%	
About right	18%	16%	13%	21%	20%	18%	16%	26%	10%	23%	22%	14%	15%	
Not conservative enough	6%	3%	5%	4%	14%	7%	5%	3%	1%	7%	5%	6%	4%	
Don't know	65%	67%	72%	63%	55%	65%	58%	63%	74%	60%	62%	69%	65%	
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
(Unweighted N)	(940)	(197)	(255)	(361)	(127)	(648)	(112)	(102)	(78)	(171)	(208)	(339)	(222)	

		Party ID				Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	Family Income	
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Too conservative	12%	19%	14%	2%	25%	12%	4%	15%	8%	10%	12%	15%
About right	18%	9%	23%	25%	7%	14%	27%	24%	12%	14%	21%	20%
Not conservative enough	6%	4%	4%	9%	1%	5%	9%	4%	7%	6%	7%	3%
Don't know	65%	68%	58%	63%	66%	69%	60%	57%	72%	69%	60%	62%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(940)	(341)	(267)	(233)	(248)	(344)	(296)	(410)	(530)	(362)	(314)	(135)

February 28-March 2, 2015



67. Potential Republican Presidential candidates - too conservative - Rand Paul

		Age					R	ace		Region				
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	
Too conservative	27%	30%	22%	26%	30%	25%	31%	23%	34%	36%	22%	24%	27%	
About right	29%	27%	28%	33%	27%	32%	28%	28%	14%	37%	32%	28%	24%	
Not conservative enough	10%	6%	9%	11%	12%	10%	7%	12%	7%	7%	9%	12%	11%	
Don't know	34%	37%	40%	29%	31%	32%	33%	37%	44%	20%	37%	36%	39%	
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
(Unweighted N)	(946)	(197)	(258)	(363)	(128)	(653)	(113)	(102)	(78)	(173)	(210)	(337)	(226)	

		Party ID				Ideology		Ge	Gender Family Incom			
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Too conservative	27%	43%	26%	11%	56%	25%	10%	29%	24%	20%	28%	44%
About right	29%	13%	38%	42%	13%	29%	41%	36%	23%	26%	33%	30%
Not conservative enough	10%	3%	10%	16%	2%	6%	19%	11%	9%	11%	9%	7%
Don't know	34%	41%	26%	31%	29%	41%	30%	24%	44%	44%	30%	19%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(946)	(344)	(269)	(232)	(249)	(349)	(296)	(415)	(531)	(364)	(317)	(136)

February 28-March 2, 2015



68. Potential Republican Presidential candidates - too conservative - Rick Perry

Do you think the people listed below are too conservative or not conservative enough?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Too conservative	30%	34%	31%	28%	25%	29%	35%	26%	32%	39%	24%	30%	28%
About right	28%	20%	23%	33%	36%	30%	20%	29%	19%	30%	33%	29%	20%
Not conservative enough	7%	7%	5%	8%	7%	7%	7%	9%	0%	9%	6%	6%	7%
Don't know	36%	40%	40%	31%	32%	33%	38%	36%	50%	22%	38%	35%	45%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(944)	(198)	(257)	(361)	(128)	(651)	(113)	(103)	(77)	(173)	(209)	(337)	(225)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Too conservative	30%	44%	35%	12%	56%	35%	10%	33%	27%	21%	31%	56%
About right	28%	13%	25%	49%	12%	20%	45%	33%	22%	24%	29%	21%
Not conservative enough	7%	3%	10%	8%	2%	4%	12%	8%	6%	9%	6%	6%
Don't know	36%	40%	30%	31%	30%	41%	33%	26%	45%	45%	34%	17%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(944)	(344)	(268)	(232)	(251)	(344)	(297)	(416)	(528)	(364)	(315)	(137)

February 28-March 2, 2015



69. Potential Republican Presidential candidates - too conservative - Marco Rubio

Do you think the people listed below are too conservative or not conservative enough?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Too conservative	22%	28%	17%	22%	20%	20%	35%	17%	28%	33%	17%	20%	22%
About right	30%	23%	27%	33%	42%	34%	13%	39%	19%	32%	32%	32%	26%
Not conservative enough	8%	6%	9%	8%	9%	7%	10%	13%	3%	9%	7%	9%	6%
Don't know	40%	43%	47%	37%	29%	40%	42%	31%	50%	26%	44%	39%	47%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(947)	(200)	(257)	(361)	(129)	(654)	(112)	(103)	(78)	(173)	(210)	(339)	(225)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Too conservative	22%	39%	23%	5%	46%	25%	5%	25%	20%	17%	24%	35%
About right	30%	16%	33%	49%	19%	24%	44%	36%	25%	23%	35%	39%
Not conservative enough	8%	2%	9%	10%	4%	5%	13%	9%	6%	11%	6%	2%
Don't know	40%	43%	35%	36%	31%	46%	38%	30%	49%	49%	35%	24%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(947)	(343)	(268)	(235)	(252)	(346)	(298)	(416)	(531)	(365)	(316)	(138)

February 28-March 2, 2015



70. Potential Republican Presidential candidates - too conservative - Rick Santorum

Do you think the people listed below are too conservative or not conservative enough?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Too conservative	30%	40%	22%	31%	23%	30%	30%	24%	32%	36%	28%	25%	32%
About right	25%	17%	23%	28%	33%	27%	23%	23%	18%	32%	27%	26%	17%
Not conservative enough	7%	5%	8%	6%	11%	7%	8%	7%	2%	6%	6%	8%	7%
Don't know	38%	38%	47%	35%	33%	36%	40%	47%	47%	26%	39%	40%	45%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(946)	(200)	(257)	(362)	(127)	(652)	(114)	(102)	(78)	(174)	(210)	(338)	(224)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Too conservative	30%	45%	33%	13%	54%	33%	12%	33%	26%	24%	27%	55%
About right	25%	7%	28%	45%	8%	20%	41%	30%	20%	22%	30%	20%
Not conservative enough	7%	3%	7%	8%	5%	5%	10%	7%	7%	8%	9%	3%
Don't know	38%	44%	32%	33%	32%	43%	37%	30%	47%	47%	34%	23%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(946)	(342)	(270)	(234)	(253)	(344)	(297)	(417)	(529)	(364)	(315)	(137)

February 28-March 2, 2015



71. Favorability of potential Democratic Presidential candidates – Joe Biden

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Very favorable	16%	19%	16%	15%	16%	11%	41%	17%	22%	24%	16%	16%	11%
Somewhat favorable	28%	24%	28%	31%	25%	25%	35%	26%	40%	24%	23%	29%	32%
Somewhat unfavorable	17%	18%	19%	18%	11%	20%	10%	13%	13%	16%	20%	16%	18%
Very unfavorable	28%	18%	26%	30%	42%	36%	2%	16%	14%	23%	31%	29%	28%
Don't know	11%	22%	11%	6%	7%	8%	11%	27%	10%	13%	10%	10%	11%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(970)	(205)	(261)	(369)	(135)	(675)	(114)	(103)	(78)	(178)	(219)	(342)	(231)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	.
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	16%	31%	14%	4%	27%	19%	8%	17%	16%	17%	14%	16%
Somewhat favorable	28%	43%	25%	9%	48%	28%	15%	26%	29%	30%	25%	34%
Somewhat unfavorable	17%	11%	21%	24%	13%	18%	19%	16%	18%	12%	19%	25%
Very unfavorable	28%	4%	28%	57%	3%	21%	49%	32%	25%	24%	37%	23%
Don't know	11%	10%	11%	5%	8%	14%	8%	9%	13%	17%	6%	2%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(970)	(354)	(274)	(239)	(258)	(354)	(305)	(424)	(546)	(370)	(323)	(140)

February 28-March 2, 2015



72. Favorability of potential Democratic Presidential candidates – Hillary Clinton Do you have a favorable or an unfavorable opinion of the following people?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Very favorable	27%	23%	30%	27%	26%	21%	49%	35%	29%	29%	24%	31%	22%
Somewhat favorable	25%	27%	25%	25%	22%	22%	35%	18%	38%	24%	31%	19%	29%
Somewhat unfavorable	8%	11%	8%	8%	5%	9%	7%	7%	9%	4%	5%	9%	14%
Very unfavorable	36%	30%	33%	38%	46%	44%	7%	28%	24%	38%	37%	36%	33%
Don't know	4%	8%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%	13%	0%	4%	3%	5%	2%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(970)	(205)	(263)	(367)	(135)	(671)	(114)	(107)	(78)	(176)	(220)	(343)	(231)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income)
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	27%	49%	21%	9%	46%	29%	13%	23%	30%	27%	26%	29%
Somewhat favorable	25%	38%	26%	9%	36%	29%	15%	26%	24%	25%	28%	26%
Somewhat unfavorable	8%	3%	11%	10%	7%	10%	7%	7%	9%	9%	7%	8%
Very unfavorable	36%	6%	38%	69%	8%	26%	63%	40%	33%	33%	37%	35%
Don't know	4%	4%	4%	2%	4%	5%	2%	4%	4%	6%	1%	2%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(970)	(353)	(275)	(239)	(258)	(354)	(306)	(423)	(547)	(370)	(324)	(139)

February 28-March 2, 2015



73. Favorability of potential Democratic Presidential candidates – Martin O'Malley

			A	ge			R	lace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Very favorable	3%	6%	3%	1%	4%	3%	2%	3%	8%	6%	5%	2%	1%
Somewhat favorable	11%	10%	13%	10%	11%	8%	15%	19%	18%	12%	5%	15%	9%
Somewhat unfavorable	10%	12%	7%	12%	5%	10%	14%	8%	4%	11%	9%	11%	8%
Very unfavorable	9%	11%	6%	10%	11%	9%	7%	16%	6%	9%	10%	10%	8%
Don't know	67%	61%	71%	67%	69%	70%	62%	55%	64%	62%	70%	62%	75%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(961)	(204)	(262)	(363)	(132)	(668)	(109)	(106)	(78)	(177)	(215)	(340)	(229)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	a
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	3%	6%	2%	2%	9%	2%	1%	4%	2%	4%	2%	3%
Somewhat favorable	11%	15%	8%	7%	14%	11%	9%	10%	12%	11%	12%	7%
Somewhat unfavorable	10%	9%	12%	10%	9%	9%	10%	11%	8%	10%	10%	14%
Very unfavorable	9%	5%	9%	15%	1%	9%	15%	11%	7%	6%	13%	10%
Don't know	67%	66%	69%	65%	67%	68%	65%	64%	70%	69%	63%	65%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(961)	(352)	(272)	(237)	(256)	(351)	(302)	(420)	(541)	(368)	(320)	(138)

February 28-March 2, 2015



74. Favorability of potential Democratic Presidential candidates – Bernie Sanders

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Very favorable	12%	15%	15%	9%	8%	10%	11%	17%	15%	21%	8%	9%	11%
Somewhat favorable	13%	11%	10%	16%	14%	12%	19%	12%	14%	14%	9%	17%	12%
Somewhat unfavorable	10%	11%	9%	11%	9%	9%	11%	12%	13%	7%	11%	13%	9%
Very unfavorable	12%	10%	8%	13%	18%	14%	2%	16%	4%	16%	11%	13%	9%
Don't know	53%	53%	58%	50%	51%	54%	57%	45%	54%	43%	61%	49%	60%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(966)	(204)	(263)	(367)	(132)	(672)	(113)	(104)	(77)	(178)	(218)	(342)	(228)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income)
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	12%	19%	14%	2%	33%	8%	3%	14%	9%	8%	10%	20%
Somewhat favorable	13%	17%	15%	6%	15%	18%	8%	14%	13%	15%	11%	17%
Somewhat unfavorable	10%	10%	10%	12%	6%	11%	12%	12%	8%	8%	13%	16%
Very unfavorable	12%	3%	12%	24%	2%	8%	22%	14%	11%	10%	14%	11%
Don't know	53%	52%	49%	56%	45%	55%	55%	47%	59%	59%	51%	36%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(966)	(351)	(275)	(238)	(256)	(352)	(305)	(422)	(544)	(367)	(325)	(140)

February 28-March 2, 2015



75. Favorability of potential Democratic Presidential candidates – Brian Schweitzer

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Very favorable	2%	3%	4%	0%	0%	1%	3%	3%	6%	4%	2%	1%	1%
Somewhat favorable	13%	14%	12%	14%	9%	10%	15%	19%	23%	13%	8%	15%	13%
Somewhat unfavorable	8%	5%	8%	10%	6%	7%	13%	7%	3%	6%	7%	8%	9%
Very unfavorable	9%	10%	6%	9%	11%	9%	3%	13%	5%	13%	9%	7%	7%
Don't know	69%	69%	71%	67%	73%	73%	66%	57%	62%	64%	74%	68%	71%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(965)	(205)	(264)	(365)	(131)	(672)	(113)	(102)	(78)	(179)	(216)	(341)	(229)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%
Somewhat favorable	13%	18%	10%	9%	18%	13%	10%	13%	12%	15%	11%	12%
Somewhat unfavorable	8%	7%	8%	9%	6%	7%	9%	10%	5%	6%	9%	10%
Very unfavorable	9%	4%	10%	13%	2%	8%	13%	10%	7%	6%	11%	9%
Don't know	69%	69%	71%	68%	70%	71%	67%	65%	73%	71%	65%	67%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(965)	(350)	(274)	(239)	(257)	(353)	(302)	(421)	(544)	(369)	(321)	(140)

February 28-March 2, 2015



76. Favorability of potential Democratic Presidential candidates – Jim Webb

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Very favorable	4%	3%	5%	5%	2%	3%	8%	4%	5%	5%	4%	5%	2%
Somewhat favorable	14%	15%	11%	14%	19%	13%	23%	13%	16%	18%	13%	14%	13%
Somewhat unfavorable	11%	9%	12%	13%	10%	12%	12%	14%	4%	7%	11%	16%	9%
Very unfavorable	8%	7%	6%	10%	8%	8%	3%	15%	9%	12%	5%	6%	11%
Don't know	63%	65%	66%	59%	62%	65%	54%	54%	64%	58%	68%	60%	65%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(962)	(204)	(261)	(363)	(134)	(666)	(113)	(105)	(78)	(178)	(216)	(340)	(228)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	.
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	4%	6%	4%	3%	6%	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%	5%
Somewhat favorable	14%	17%	13%	11%	19%	15%	11%	14%	15%	16%	14%	14%
Somewhat unfavorable	11%	8%	12%	15%	8%	9%	15%	15%	8%	10%	13%	16%
Very unfavorable	8%	3%	10%	11%	3%	7%	11%	9%	7%	7%	10%	7%
Don't know	63%	67%	61%	60%	64%	64%	60%	58%	67%	63%	59%	58%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(962)	(349)	(270)	(240)	(258)	(349)	(302)	(421)	(541)	(366)	(320)	(140)

February 28-March 2, 2015



77. Potential Democratic Presidential candidates - too liberal - Joe Biden

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Too liberal	31%	21%	24%	38%	44%	39%	8%	20%	20%	28%	34%	31%	31%
About right	39%	39%	42%	39%	34%	32%	69%	40%	49%	45%	38%	39%	36%
Not liberal enough	9%	14%	5%	7%	9%	9%	3%	13%	12%	11%	9%	6%	10%
Don't know	21%	27%	29%	15%	13%	20%	20%	27%	20%	17%	19%	24%	23%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(955)	(203)	(256)	(362)	(134)	(665)	(108)	(104)	(78)	(177)	(212)	(342)	(224)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	;
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Too liberal	31%	4%	36%	59%	1%	21%	60%	38%	25%	24%	36%	29%
About right	39%	63%	38%	17%	65%	45%	19%	42%	36%	39%	36%	54%
Not liberal enough	9%	13%	5%	7%	16%	8%	5%	6%	12%	9%	11%	5%
Don't know	21%	20%	21%	17%	17%	27%	17%	15%	27%	28%	17%	12%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(955)	(342)	(275)	(239)	(253)	(349)	(301)	(418)	(537)	(363)	(322)	(138)

February 28-March 2, 2015



78. Potential Democratic Presidential candidates - too liberal – Hillary Clinton Do you think the people listed below are too liberal or not liberal enough?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Too liberal	34%	26%	29%	40%	43%	40%	16%	25%	25%	26%	34%	38%	37%
About right	39%	37%	41%	38%	39%	33%	62%	42%	46%	40%	44%	38%	33%
Not liberal enough	12%	20%	11%	10%	7%	12%	15%	11%	12%	21%	6%	11%	12%
Don't know	15%	17%	19%	12%	12%	15%	8%	22%	16%	12%	16%	14%	17%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(958)	(202)	(258)	(364)	(134)	(666)	(109)	(105)	(78)	(179)	(213)	(342)	(224)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	;
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Too liberal	34%	3%	40%	65%	4%	24%	64%	39%	29%	28%	40%	28%
About right	39%	68%	32%	17%	60%	47%	19%	38%	40%	37%	42%	46%
Not liberal enough	12%	18%	13%	5%	29%	10%	4%	13%	11%	13%	8%	18%
Don't know	15%	11%	16%	13%	8%	19%	14%	10%	20%	21%	11%	8%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(958)	(343)	(276)	(240)	(255)	(351)	(300)	(420)	(538)	(363)	(323)	(139)

February 28-March 2, 2015



79. Potential Democratic Presidential candidates - too liberal – Martin O'Malley

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Too liberal	12%	11%	10%	14%	11%	13%	4%	13%	12%	9%	15%	12%	11%
About right	15%	20%	19%	10%	12%	12%	23%	20%	19%	22%	13%	15%	11%
Not liberal enough	6%	11%	4%	6%	5%	5%	14%	10%	3%	7%	3%	7%	8%
Don't know	67%	58%	67%	70%	72%	70%	58%	56%	66%	62%	69%	66%	70%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(945)	(200)	(256)	(358)	(131)	(654)	(109)	(104)	(78)	(177)	(210)	(336)	(222)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Too liberal	12%	5%	12%	22%	5%	6%	22%	17%	7%	10%	15%	9%
About right	15%	16%	11%	15%	23%	13%	12%	16%	14%	14%	16%	14%
Not liberal enough	6%	11%	7%	2%	9%	9%	3%	6%	7%	5%	8%	9%
Don't know	67%	68%	71%	61%	63%	72%	64%	61%	72%	71%	61%	68%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(945)	(339)	(268)	(237)	(250)	(346)	(298)	(413)	(532)	(359)	(318)	(136)

February 28-March 2, 2015



80. Potential Democratic Presidential candidates - too liberal - Bernie Sanders

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Too liberal	20%	17%	16%	21%	27%	23%	14%	13%	12%	18%	23%	19%	18%
About right	22%	28%	22%	21%	17%	19%	25%	30%	33%	29%	20%	21%	21%
Not liberal enough	5%	5%	3%	4%	6%	4%	6%	8%	2%	5%	2%	6%	5%
Don't know	54%	50%	59%	54%	51%	55%	54%	49%	53%	48%	56%	54%	56%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(952)	(202)	(256)	(363)	(131)	(661)	(110)	(105)	(76)	(178)	(212)	(339)	(223)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	Э
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Too liberal	20%	8%	22%	33%	7%	16%	31%	25%	14%	14%	22%	24%
About right	22%	31%	23%	10%	40%	21%	13%	26%	19%	15%	24%	32%
Not liberal enough	5%	6%	2%	6%	6%	6%	2%	4%	5%	6%	3%	5%
Don't know	54%	55%	52%	51%	47%	58%	54%	46%	62%	64%	50%	39%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(952)	(341)	(273)	(239)	(253)	(347)	(300)	(419)	(533)	(362)	(320)	(139)

February 28-March 2, 2015



81. Potential Democratic Presidential candidates - too liberal – Brian Schweitzer

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Too liberal	11%	9%	9%	14%	10%	11%	6%	14%	12%	9%	10%	11%	13%
About right	11%	16%	15%	7%	5%	9%	18%	14%	16%	16%	13%	10%	7%
Not liberal enough	8%	12%	8%	5%	6%	7%	10%	11%	8%	13%	5%	7%	8%
Don't know	70%	62%	69%	73%	79%	73%	66%	61%	64%	62%	73%	72%	72%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(948)	(198)	(256)	(363)	(131)	(659)	(110)	(103)	(76)	(178)	(212)	(339)	(219)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Too liberal	11%	2%	11%	20%	3%	6%	20%	14%	8%	9%	14%	7%
About right	11%	13%	10%	10%	14%	11%	9%	14%	8%	9%	14%	12%
Not liberal enough	8%	13%	6%	5%	15%	7%	4%	8%	7%	9%	8%	9%
Don't know	70%	72%	72%	64%	68%	75%	67%	64%	77%	74%	64%	73%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(948)	(339)	(270)	(238)	(253)	(344)	(299)	(417)	(531)	(359)	(320)	(138)

February 28-March 2, 2015



82. Potential Democratic Presidential candidates - too liberal - Jim Webb

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Too liberal	12%	14%	9%	14%	11%	13%	6%	19%	7%	14%	12%	11%	13%
About right	16%	21%	18%	13%	15%	13%	28%	20%	23%	12%	19%	18%	15%
Not liberal enough	7%	6%	8%	6%	8%	7%	11%	8%	6%	12%	4%	6%	9%
Don't know	64%	59%	65%	67%	66%	68%	56%	53%	64%	62%	65%	66%	62%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(953)	(202)	(256)	(364)	(131)	(661)	(110)	(104)	(78)	(177)	(215)	(341)	(220)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Too liberal	12%	5%	13%	21%	5%	7%	22%	16%	9%	10%	17%	7%
About right	16%	12%	18%	18%	21%	17%	14%	20%	13%	15%	16%	24%
Not liberal enough	7%	14%	4%	3%	14%	7%	3%	8%	6%	7%	8%	8%
Don't know	64%	69%	65%	57%	60%	69%	61%	56%	72%	68%	59%	61%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(953)	(343)	(271)	(240)	(254)	(349)	(298)	(418)	(535)	(362)	(321)	(139)

February 28-March 2, 2015



83. Approval of Obama as President

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling his job as President?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Strongly approve	18%	14%	18%	19%	22%	14%	33%	24%	16%	24%	17%	14%	19%
Somewhat approve	31%	39%	35%	26%	19%	23%	51%	36%	49%	30%	30%	32%	29%
Somewhat disapprove	13%	17%	16%	11%	5%	14%	9%	14%	8%	11%	15%	12%	13%
Strongly disapprove	35%	20%	29%	44%	52%	47%	4%	18%	20%	29%	37%	39%	35%
Not sure	3%	10%	2%	0%	2%	2%	4%	8%	6%	5%	2%	3%	4%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(1,000)	(218)	(272)	(374)	(136)	(687)	(121)	(113)	(79)	(183)	(227)	(357)	(233)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income)
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Strongly approve	18%	36%	13%	2%	37%	18%	6%	16%	19%	19%	15%	21%
Somewhat approve	31%	51%	27%	8%	48%	35%	15%	31%	30%	34%	30%	28%
Somewhat disapprove	13%	6%	18%	17%	7%	15%	14%	13%	12%	13%	13%	17%
Strongly disapprove	35%	6%	39%	69%	6%	28%	62%	37%	34%	30%	40%	32%
Not sure	3%	2%	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%	2%	5%	3%	2%	3%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(1,000)	(361)	(284)	(248)	(267)	(369)	(309)	(434)	(566)	(384)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



84. Obama approval on issues

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling these specific issues?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
The economy	47%	55%	47%	44%	39%	37%	81%	59%	58%	54%	45%	44%	47%
Immigration	40%	49%	38%	36%	34%	31%	64%	54%	51%	49%	40%	36%	36%
The environment	45%	45%	46%	45%	42%	38%	68%	50%	54%	45%	47%	43%	44%
Terrorism	44%	45%	45%	45%	40%	35%	78%	57%	51%	48%	42%	42%	47%
Gay rights	43%	52%	42%	41%	35%	38%	51%	50%	59%	52%	42%	38%	43%
Education	45%	47%	42%	46%	44%	37%	71%	56%	55%	58%	41%	40%	47%
Health care	47%	53%	50%	43%	42%	38%	75%	57%	61%	55%	44%	45%	46%
Social security	39%	41%	37%	39%	39%	29%	67%	58%	50%	45%	37%	35%	42%
The war in Afghanistan	40%	41%	42%	39%	37%	34%	60%	41%	50%	47%	42%	37%	36%
The budget deficit	40%	40%	42%	39%	37%	32%	65%	47%	55%	43%	40%	39%	38%
Taxes	42%	43%	45%	43%	35%	33%	74%	53%	53%	49%	38%	42%	41%
Medicare	42%	41%	42%	41%	42%	32%	74%	51%	58%	45%	39%	40%	44%
Abortion	38%	39%	37%	40%	30%	32%	59%	43%	45%	45%	35%	33%	40%
Foreign policy	41%	47%	38%	41%	39%	33%	70%	49%	56%	48%	40%	38%	43%
Totals	(991)	(213)	(269)	(373)	(136)	(683)	(119)	(110)	(79)	(183)	(223)	(353)	(232)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	F	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
The economy	47%	79%	43%	14%	80%	54%	20%	47%	47%	50%	45%	53%
Immigration	40%	73%	32%	9%	71%	45%	16%	39%	40%	41%	37%	46%
The environment	45%	74%	43%	14%	73%	53%	20%	46%	43%	49%	46%	42%
Terrorism	44%	75%	38%	15%	73%	44%	27%	45%	44%	49%	41%	46%
Gay rights	43%	71%	41%	14%	79%	46%	19%	45%	41%	42%	45%	46%
Education	45%	73%	37%	20%	71%	49%	26%	44%	46%	51%	45%	39%
Health care	47%	79%	47%	12%	83%	53%	20%	49%	45%	51%	43%	51%
Social security	39%	67%	34%	11%	63%	44%	20%	39%	39%	46%	36%	28%
The war in Afghanistan	40%	70%	33%	13%	66%	44%	20%	41%	39%	42%	36%	48%
The budget deficit	40%	72%	35%	9%	69%	46%	17%	42%	38%	42%	41%	35%
Taxes	42%	73%	35%	13%	69%	45%	23%	42%	42%	48%	40%	40%
Medicare	42%	70%	39%	12%	69%	46%	21%	44%	40%	47%	37%	37%
Abortion	38%	64%	31%	14%	63%	43%	17%	35%	40%	39%	36%	38%
Foreign policy	41%	72%	36%	12%	72%	44%	21%	41%	41%	44%	39%	48%

continued on the next page ...

February 28-March 2, 2015



					C	ontinued fron	n previous pa	ge				
			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income)
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Totals	(991)	(359)	(280)	(247)	(264)	(364)	(308)	(431)	(560)	(380)	(330)	(142)

February 28-March 2, 2015



85. Approval of U.S. Congress

Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way that the United States Congress is handling its job?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Strongly approve	3%	5%	1%	4%	0%	2%	5%	8%	3%	6%	4%	1%	3%
Somewhat approve	12%	9%	12%	12%	15%	11%	13%	12%	13%	12%	13%	11%	11%
Neither approve nor													
disapprove	18%	25%	23%	15%	7%	16%	27%	23%	20%	16%	19%	20%	17%
Somewhat disapprove	25%	23%	19%	30%	22%	27%	14%	24%	21%	22%	22%	27%	27%
Strongly disapprove	36%	32%	34%	33%	52%	38%	34%	23%	39%	37%	35%	38%	32%
Not sure	6%	7%	11%	5%	3%	6%	7%	9%	4%	7%	7%	3%	10%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(989)	(215)	(270)	(371)	(133)	(680)	(117)	(113)	(79)	(183)	(222)	(353)	(231)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	е
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Strongly approve	3%	6%	2%	2%	3%	2%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	0%
Somewhat approve	12%	11%	8%	19%	8%	11%	15%	12%	11%	14%	10%	7%
Neither approve nor												
disapprove	18%	15%	24%	16%	9%	25%	17%	17%	20%	20%	20%	13%
Somewhat disapprove	25%	19%	25%	32%	18%	19%	34%	23%	26%	23%	27%	29%
Strongly disapprove	36%	45%	37%	24%	56%	36%	24%	40%	32%	31%	35%	49%
Not sure	6%	5%	4%	6%	6%	6%	6%	4%	8%	9%	5%	2%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(989)	(358)	(282)	(243)	(264)	(367)	(305)	(430)	(559)	(380)	(329)	(142)

February 28-March 2, 2015



86. Better off now

Are you better off now than you were when President Obama first took office in 2009?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Better off now	26%	35%	25%	23%	21%	24%	37%	28%	26%	24%	27%	26%	27%
About the same	35%	35%	38%	33%	31%	30%	46%	37%	49%	45%	35%	33%	28%
Worse off now	35%	18%	34%	43%	48%	43%	9%	26%	20%	27%	34%	38%	38%
Not sure	4%	11%	4%	2%	0%	3%	7%	10%	5%	4%	4%	3%	7%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(997)	(217)	(272)	(374)	(134)	(686)	(119)	(113)	(79)	(183)	(227)	(355)	(232)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Better off now	26%	42%	28%	9%	40%	32%	11%	30%	23%	22%	26%	42%
About the same	35%	48%	26%	28%	47%	31%	30%	33%	36%	38%	30%	34%
Worse off now	35%	6%	43%	58%	9%	32%	54%	34%	36%	37%	39%	22%
Not sure	4%	4%	3%	4%	3%	5%	4%	4%	5%	4%	5%	1%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(997)	(360)	(283)	(248)	(267)	(367)	(309)	(434)	(563)	(382)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



87. Perceived Obama ideology Would you say Barack Obama is...

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Very liberal	33%	18%	30%	40%	47%	40%	17%	16%	19%	29%	32%	31%	39%
Liberal	25%	38%	26%	21%	12%	21%	35%	22%	44%	26%	26%	27%	20%
Moderate	24%	28%	21%	21%	27%	21%	32%	34%	22%	23%	20%	25%	26%
Conservative	4%	4%	5%	6%	0%	4%	1%	10%	6%	5%	5%	4%	4%
Very conservative	2%	3%	2%	1%	0%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%
Not sure	12%	9%	16%	11%	15%	12%	13%	15%	8%	15%	14%	12%	9%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(996)	(217)	(272)	(373)	(134)	(685)	(119)	(113)	(79)	(182)	(227)	(355)	(232)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very liberal	33%	5%	38%	63%	6%	24%	59%	39%	27%	27%	38%	27%
Liberal	25%	39%	20%	14%	51%	23%	11%	26%	24%	25%	25%	37%
Moderate	24%	37%	23%	9%	30%	34%	11%	20%	27%	24%	22%	29%
Conservative	4%	5%	5%	3%	3%	2%	8%	4%	4%	4%	5%	3%
Very conservative	2%	4%	0%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	3%	0%	1%
Not sure	12%	9%	15%	11%	7%	16%	11%	9%	15%	18%	10%	3%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(996)	(360)	(283)	(248)	(266)	(368)	(308)	(433)	(563)	(383)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



88. Obama's leadership abilities

Would you say Barack Obama is a strong or a weak leader?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Very strong	17%	19%	19%	17%	12%	11%	41%	28%	20%	25%	15%	13%	20%
Somewhat strong	33%	43%	33%	29%	25%	28%	47%	39%	43%	32%	36%	34%	29%
Somewhat weak	19%	21%	23%	17%	13%	21%	10%	15%	21%	18%	21%	18%	20%
Very weak	31%	16%	24%	37%	50%	40%	3%	18%	16%	26%	28%	35%	31%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(986)	(211)	(269)	(372)	(134)	(679)	(117)	(111)	(79)	(182)	(223)	(351)	(230)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very strong	17%	30%	15%	5%	26%	19%	10%	16%	19%	19%	17%	14%
Somewhat strong	33%	56%	26%	13%	52%	34%	20%	37%	29%	36%	31%	34%
Somewhat weak	19%	13%	22%	20%	18%	23%	15%	14%	24%	21%	19%	20%
Very weak	31%	1%	37%	62%	3%	24%	54%	34%	27%	24%	33%	32%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(986)	(361)	(278)	(246)	(263)	(366)	(305)	(430)	(556)	(377)	(329)	(143)

February 28-March 2, 2015



89. Perceived Obama sincerity

Do you think Barack Obama...

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Says what he believes Says what he thinks people	43%	45%	41%	43%	44%	36%	67%	50%	54%	43%	51%	39%	41%
want to hear	47%	44%	48%	50%	43%	55%	21%	41%	34%	42%	41%	53%	49%
Not sure	10%	10%	11%	7%	14%	9%	12%	9%	11%	15%	8%	8%	9%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(998)	(217)	(272)	(373)	(136)	(686)	(120)	(113)	(79)	(182)	(227)	(356)	(233)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Says what he believes	43%	69%	38%	19%	66%	48%	25%	44%	43%	43%	42%	44%
Says what he thinks people												
want to hear	47%	19%	57%	71%	23%	42%	68%	48%	46%	45%	51%	47%
Not sure	10%	12%	5%	10%	12%	10%	7%	8%	11%	12%	7%	8%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(998)	(361)	(284)	(247)	(267)	(369)	(308)	(434)	(564)	(383)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



90. Obama likeability

Regardless of whether you agree with him, do you like Barack Obama as a person?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Like a lot	36%	37%	34%	36%	36%	28%	70%	36%	43%	42%	40%	32%	33%
Like somewhat	25%	34%	30%	21%	10%	22%	21%	38%	40%	23%	21%	26%	28%
Dislike	32%	21%	28%	35%	49%	42%	5%	18%	11%	26%	31%	34%	33%
Not sure	8%	8%	8%	8%	5%	9%	3%	8%	6%	9%	8%	7%	6%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(999)	(217)	(272)	(374)	(136)	(687)	(120)	(113)	(79)	(183)	(227)	(356)	(233)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Like a lot	36%	66%	31%	6%	65%	40%	14%	34%	37%	36%	32%	41%
Like somewhat	25%	28%	24%	22%	28%	26%	22%	25%	25%	28%	26%	23%
Dislike	32%	3%	36%	64%	5%	25%	56%	34%	29%	29%	35%	29%
Not sure	8%	3%	9%	8%	2%	10%	8%	7%	8%	7%	7%	7%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(999)	(361)	(284)	(248)	(267)	(369)	(309)	(434)	(565)	(384)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



91. Which of these words to describe Barack Obama

Which of these words would you use to describe Barack Obama?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Arrogant	36%	23%	32%	44%	50%	47%	11%	21%	16%	29%	37%	38%	39%
Bold	24%	27%	21%	26%	20%	19%	48%	20%	30%	23%	22%	25%	25%
Effective	22%	20%	26%	20%	25%	17%	40%	27%	28%	26%	18%	21%	26%
Exciting	12%	18%	13%	10%	8%	9%	29%	9%	17%	17%	13%	12%	8%
Experienced	20%	20%	20%	22%	14%	14%	39%	31%	24%	22%	17%	19%	23%
Honest	30%	34%	31%	26%	29%	23%	51%	42%	39%	33%	33%	25%	31%
Hypocritical	34%	27%	29%	40%	45%	43%	11%	25%	12%	31%	33%	37%	35%
Inspiring	26%	31%	27%	25%	21%	19%	57%	26%	33%	32%	30%	20%	26%
Intelligent	52%	57%	48%	53%	47%	44%	73%	59%	68%	59%	49%	49%	52%
Partisan	20%	13%	18%	25%	26%	25%	13%	10%	8%	20%	19%	23%	19%
Patriotic	27%	21%	27%	29%	29%	21%	46%	31%	34%	35%	25%	22%	29%
Religious	10%	9%	7%	11%	12%	7%	19%	11%	13%	10%	13%	6%	11%
Sincere	32%	29%	32%	31%	34%	26%	53%	34%	38%	40%	29%	26%	35%
Steady	23%	22%	22%	25%	20%	21%	27%	21%	31%	24%	22%	21%	25%
Strong	26%	27%	26%	28%	21%	18%	54%	35%	39%	31%	22%	25%	28%
Totals	(1,000)	(218)	(272)	(374)	(136)	(687)	(121)	(113)	(79)	(183)	(227)	(357)	(233)

February 28-March 2, 2015



			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	F	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Arrogant	36%	4%	44%	68%	7%	30%	62%	40%	33%	30%	45%	34%
Bold	24%	34%	21%	12%	33%	25%	18%	22%	26%	27%	22%	17%
Effective	22%	39%	18%	4%	41%	23%	10%	22%	22%	25%	20%	20%
Exciting	12%	24%	8%	2%	26%	13%	3%	13%	12%	11%	13%	17%
Experienced	20%	37%	15%	5%	33%	18%	13%	19%	21%	25%	15%	18%
Honest	30%	55%	23%	5%	51%	32%	14%	30%	30%	34%	25%	31%
Hypocritical	34%	4%	39%	63%	9%	29%	57%	40%	30%	32%	39%	30%
Inspiring	26%	47%	20%	7%	50%	26%	11%	25%	27%	25%	24%	33%
Intelligent	52%	79%	48%	24%	79%	55%	32%	51%	53%	52%	50%	64%
Partisan	20%	10%	21%	31%	9%	18%	30%	26%	15%	16%	26%	20%
Patriotic	27%	50%	20%	5%	44%	30%	13%	24%	29%	27%	30%	24%
Religious	10%	17%	8%	3%	13%	13%	5%	10%	10%	12%	9%	6%
Sincere	32%	57%	27%	7%	54%	35%	15%	29%	34%	32%	31%	35%
Steady	23%	41%	15%	8%	45%	20%	11%	23%	23%	21%	21%	36%
Strong	26%	47%	23%	5%	42%	28%	14%	26%	26%	32%	21%	21%
Totals	(1,000)	(361)	(284)	(248)	(267)	(369)	(309)	(434)	(566)	(384)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



92. Respondent's ideology In general, how would you describe your own political viewpoint?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Very liberal	6%	13%	5%	4%	2%	5%	8%	7%	11%	12%	4%	5%	6%
Liberal	18%	18%	25%	15%	12%	15%	21%	18%	30%	15%	21%	17%	18%
Moderate	37%	39%	37%	36%	34%	35%	48%	36%	32%	45%	34%	37%	32%
Conservative	29%	20%	25%	33%	43%	32%	17%	31%	26%	18%	30%	31%	35%
Very conservative	9%	7%	7%	11%	9%	12%	2%	6%	1%	8%	9%	10%	9%
Not sure	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	1%	4%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(1,000)	(218)	(272)	(374)	(136)	(687)	(121)	(113)	(79)	(183)	(227)	(357)	(233)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very liberal	6%	14%	5%	0%	26%	0%	0%	5%	7%	4%	7%	10%
Liberal	18%	37%	10%	5%	74%	0%	0%	19%	16%	21%	13%	25%
Moderate	37%	33%	56%	19%	0%	100%	0%	33%	40%	37%	39%	38%
Conservative	29%	14%	22%	56%	0%	0%	77%	32%	27%	25%	34%	22%
Very conservative	9%	1%	6%	19%	0%	0%	23%	9%	9%	11%	7%	4%
Not sure	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(1,000)	(361)	(284)	(248)	(267)	(369)	(309)	(434)	(566)	(384)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



93. Voter Registration Status Are you registered to vote?

			A	ge			R	lace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Yes	70%	52%	69%	73%	90%	74%	71%	61%	47%	70%	71%	70%	68%
No	28%	42%	26%	27%	10%	24%	24%	38%	51%	30%	24%	27%	30%
Don't know	3%	6%	5%	0%	0%	3%	5%	1%	2%	0%	5%	3%	2%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(1,000)	(218)	(272)	(374)	(136)	(687)	(121)	(113)	(79)	(183)	(227)	(357)	(233)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	F	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Yes	70%	76%	57%	83%	66%	61%	81%	72%	68%	65%	78%	71%
No	28%	22%	42%	12%	33%	35%	16%	27%	28%	32%	19%	27%
Don't know	3%	2%	2%	5%	1%	4%	3%	1%	4%	2%	3%	2%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(1,000)	(361)	(284)	(248)	(267)	(369)	(309)	(434)	(566)	(384)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



94. Generic presidential vote intention
If an election for president was going to be held now, would you vote for...

Asked only of registered voters

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
The Democratic Party													
candidate	36%	37%	40%	34%	32%	28%	66%	42%	49%	43%	44%	28%	33%
The Republican Party													
candidate	35%	28%	33%	35%	44%	42%	11%	29%	8%	25%	32%	39%	39%
Other	6%	11%	2%	6%	7%	6%	6%	3%	16%	4%	5%	9%	6%
Not sure	21%	20%	22%	23%	17%	22%	12%	24%	26%	28%	18%	22%	16%
I would not vote	2%	4%	3%	2%	0%	2%	6%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	7%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(829)	(147)	(222)	(330)	(130)	(599)	(98)	(76)	(56)	(154)	(192)	(295)	(188)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
The Democratic Party												
candidate	36%	82%	18%	2%	75%	40%	13%	33%	38%	39%	35%	36%
The Republican Party												
candidate	35%	2%	26%	84%	1%	20%	63%	39%	31%	29%	38%	39%
Other	6%	3%	10%	3%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	5%	5%	9%
Not sure	21%	12%	43%	10%	14%	31%	17%	19%	23%	24%	22%	14%
I would not vote	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	3%	1%	3%	1%	4%	1%	2%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(829)	(314)	(223)	(227)	(221)	(304)	(285)	(364)	(465)	(304)	(292)	(126)

February 28-March 2, 2015



95. Approval of specfic member

Do you approve or disapprove of the way the member of the US House of Representatives that represents your Congressional district is handling his or her job?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Strongly approve	8%	5%	7%	9%	12%	9%	6%	10%	4%	9%	8%	5%	11%
Somewhat approve	21%	16%	19%	23%	26%	21%	14%	21%	30%	25%	19%	22%	17%
Neither approve nor													
disapprove	22%	26%	28%	21%	8%	21%	25%	28%	20%	23%	25%	19%	22%
Somewhat disapprove	16%	11%	12%	22%	16%	16%	17%	8%	22%	8%	18%	18%	17%
Strongly disapprove	15%	12%	11%	16%	23%	16%	14%	13%	10%	15%	15%	15%	14%
Not sure	18%	30%	22%	9%	15%	18%	24%	20%	14%	20%	15%	20%	18%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(997)	(216)	(272)	(373)	(136)	(684)	(121)	(113)	(79)	(182)	(227)	(355)	(233)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Strongly approve	8%	9%	5%	12%	9%	5%	10%	11%	5%	5%	11%	9%
Somewhat approve	21%	20%	18%	26%	16%	17%	28%	20%	22%	20%	21%	24%
Neither approve nor												
disapprove	22%	21%	23%	24%	23%	22%	22%	20%	24%	23%	22%	23%
Somewhat disapprove	16%	22%	15%	11%	21%	15%	14%	14%	17%	16%	16%	16%
Strongly disapprove	15%	17%	15%	11%	17%	17%	11%	17%	13%	13%	16%	19%
Not sure	18%	12%	24%	16%	14%	24%	15%	18%	19%	22%	15%	8%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(997)	(360)	(284)	(246)	(266)	(369)	(307)	(432)	(565)	(383)	(330)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



96. Trend of economy

Overall, do you think the economy is getting better or worse?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Getting better	30%	34%	33%	24%	31%	26%	45%	38%	27%	33%	27%	31%	29%
About the same	36%	43%	36%	37%	25%	35%	33%	34%	55%	37%	35%	36%	38%
Getting worse	30%	17%	28%	37%	42%	37%	15%	24%	9%	27%	34%	30%	29%
Not sure	3%	6%	3%	2%	1%	2%	7%	4%	8%	3%	4%	2%	4%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(1,000)	(218)	(272)	(374)	(136)	(687)	(121)	(113)	(79)	(183)	(227)	(357)	(233)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	;
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Getting better	30%	52%	28%	9%	53%	33%	13%	33%	27%	27%	30%	44%
About the same	36%	37%	39%	35%	32%	40%	36%	36%	37%	39%	37%	30%
Getting worse	30%	9%	31%	51%	12%	23%	49%	28%	32%	31%	31%	23%
Not sure	3%	2%	2%	5%	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	1%	3%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(1,000)	(361)	(284)	(248)	(267)	(369)	(309)	(434)	(566)	(384)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



97. Own Home/Rent

Is the place where you live owned or rented?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Owned by you or your family	59%	52%	46%	67%	73%	65%	44%	49%	48%	52%	69%	60%	53%
Rented from someone else	40%	46%	53%	32%	26%	34%	55%	50%	49%	45%	31%	38%	47%
Other	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	3%	1%	2%	0%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(1,000)	(218)	(272)	(374)	(136)	(687)	(121)	(113)	(79)	(183)	(227)	(357)	(233)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income)
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Owned by you or your family	59%	54%	57%	71%	56%	55%	65%	60%	58%	39%	69%	85%
Rented from someone else	40%	45%	42%	27%	43%	45%	33%	38%	41%	60%	30%	15%
Other	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(1,000)	(361)	(284)	(248)	(267)	(369)	(309)	(434)	(566)	(384)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



98. Change in home valueDo you think the value of your home has increased or decreased in the last year?

Asked of those who own their own homes

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Increased	29%	27%	28%	32%	25%	26%	38%	38%	38%	29%	23%	25%	44%
Stayed about the same	43%	38%	59%	39%	41%	46%	23%	38%	45%	49%	44%	48%	30%
Decreased	20%	18%	9%	23%	30%	24%	14%	12%	7%	19%	26%	19%	16%
Not sure	7%	16%	5%	5%	4%	4%	24%	12%	10%	4%	7%	7%	10%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(611)	(110)	(138)	(257)	(106)	(453)	(57)	(58)	(43)	(99)	(155)	(218)	(139)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	e
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Increased	29%	35%	33%	20%	42%	31%	21%	30%	28%	22%	24%	42%
Stayed about the same	43%	44%	40%	47%	41%	39%	48%	38%	48%	45%	51%	40%
Decreased	20%	12%	20%	26%	5%	22%	28%	24%	17%	25%	19%	13%
Not sure	7%	9%	7%	7%	12%	8%	4%	8%	6%	7%	5%	5%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(611)	(199)	(173)	(179)	(153)	(219)	(217)	(266)	(345)	(165)	(234)	(119)

February 28-March 2, 2015



99. Change in area's home valuesDo you think home prices in your area have increased or decreased in the last year?

Asked of those who do NOT own their own homes

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Increased	43%	42%	48%	42%	35%	44%	38%	36%	57%	36%	35%	45%	53%
Stayed about the same	30%	23%	30%	39%	25%	32%	26%	26%	30%	23%	33%	31%	32%
Decreased	11%	19%	5%	6%	20%	7%	26%	17%	0%	19%	13%	6%	9%
Not sure	16%	16%	17%	14%	20%	17%	10%	21%	13%	22%	19%	18%	6%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(382)	(106)	(130)	(116)	(30)	(232)	(61)	(53)	(36)	(83)	(72)	(134)	(93)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Increased	43%	38%	49%	40%	60%	36%	40%	46%	41%	38%	49%	69%
Stayed about the same	30%	37%	28%	34%	21%	33%	33%	31%	29%	33%	29%	31%
Decreased	11%	8%	8%	17%	2%	11%	18%	6%	15%	10%	16%	0%
Not sure	16%	17%	15%	9%	17%	20%	9%	17%	15%	19%	7%	0%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(382)	(160)	(109)	(67)	(114)	(145)	(92)	(164)	(218)	(218)	(95)	(23)

February 28-March 2, 2015



100. Expected change in area's home values

Thinking ahead, do you think home prices in your area will increase or decrease in the next year?

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Will increase a lot	8%	9%	10%	8%	3%	6%	13%	15%	6%	7%	7%	7%	11%
Will increase a little	37%	33%	41%	37%	36%	36%	33%	30%	57%	32%	33%	34%	48%
Will stay about the same	29%	33%	26%	29%	29%	30%	30%	25%	25%	34%	35%	30%	18%
Will decrease a little	10%	6%	7%	12%	18%	13%	7%	7%	1%	12%	11%	9%	11%
Will decrease a lot	3%	3%	3%	3%	6%	3%	2%	7%	2%	1%	4%	5%	3%
Not sure	13%	17%	15%	11%	7%	12%	15%	16%	9%	14%	11%	15%	10%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(998)	(217)	(271)	(374)	(136)	(687)	(119)	(113)	(79)	(183)	(227)	(355)	(233)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Will increase a lot	8%	11%	7%	4%	12%	7%	7%	7%	9%	12%	7%	5%
Will increase a little	37%	40%	36%	32%	45%	35%	33%	34%	39%	36%	34%	50%
Will stay about the same	29%	28%	27%	36%	24%	31%	31%	32%	27%	24%	31%	35%
Will decrease a little	10%	8%	11%	13%	5%	9%	15%	10%	10%	8%	15%	7%
Will decrease a lot	3%	2%	3%	6%	0%	3%	6%	5%	2%	4%	4%	0%
Not sure	13%	11%	17%	8%	12%	16%	8%	13%	13%	16%	9%	4%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(998)	(361)	(284)	(247)	(267)	(368)	(308)	(433)	(565)	(383)	(331)	(143)

February 28-March 2, 2015



101. Worry about mortgage paymentsHow worried are you about making your mortgage payments?

Asked of those who own their own homes

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Very worried	10%	15%	9%	8%	8%	9%	16%	11%	3%	14%	9%	10%	6%
Somewhat worried	24%	21%	26%	25%	20%	22%	16%	47%	22%	22%	23%	19%	33%
Not worried	36%	25%	45%	38%	34%	36%	45%	24%	40%	29%	38%	38%	36%
Don't have mortgage													
payments	31%	39%	20%	29%	38%	33%	24%	17%	35%	36%	29%	33%	25%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(616)	(111)	(141)	(258)	(106)	(455)	(58)	(60)	(43)	(100)	(155)	(221)	(140)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very worried	10%	11%	10%	8%	9%	14%	7%	10%	9%	13%	12%	2%
Somewhat worried	24%	19%	25%	25%	10%	23%	31%	22%	25%	27%	30%	10%
Not worried	36%	44%	34%	31%	46%	38%	29%	38%	34%	23%	35%	54%
Don't have mortgage												
payments	31%	26%	30%	36%	34%	25%	33%	29%	32%	36%	23%	34%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(616)	(201)	(175)	(180)	(153)	(223)	(217)	(268)	(348)	(166)	(236)	(120)

February 28-March 2, 2015



102. Home Purchase Plans

Do you plan to buy a home in the next 12 months?

			A	ge			R	lace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Will definitely buy a home Am considering buying a	2%	5%	1%	3%	0%	2%	3%	8%	1%	4%	2%	3%	2%
home	17%	23%	27%	13%	4%	15%	22%	23%	18%	13%	21%	19%	16%
Not looking for a home now	80%	72%	72%	85%	96%	83%	75%	69%	81%	83%	77%	79%	82%
Totals (Unweighted N)	100% (1,000)	100% (218)	100% (272)	100% (374)	100% (136)	100% (687)	100% (121)	100% (113)	100% (79)	100% (183)	100% (227)	100% (357)	100% (233)

			Party ID			Ideology		Ge	nder	Fa	amily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Will definitely buy a home Am considering buying a	2%	1%	4%	3%	2%	1%	4%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%
home	17%	18%	16%	16%	17%	19%	16%	17%	18%	19%	17%	19%
Not looking for a home now	80%	81%	80%	81%	81%	79%	80%	82%	79%	78%	81%	79%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(1,000)	(361)	(284)	(248)	(267)	(369)	(309)	(434)	(566)	(384)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



103. Job availability in six months

Six months from now, do you think there will be...

			A	ge			R	ace			Regi	on	
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
More jobs	26%	27%	28%	25%	22%	22%	47%	28%	21%	27%	22%	28%	25%
The same amount of jobs	38%	45%	39%	35%	31%	38%	30%	36%	51%	38%	42%	35%	38%
Fewer jobs	23%	16%	15%	33%	28%	28%	8%	22%	13%	23%	23%	23%	24%
Not sure	13%	12%	18%	7%	19%	12%	16%	14%	15%	13%	13%	14%	13%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(998)	(217)	(271)	(374)	(136)	(687)	(120)	(113)	(78)	(183)	(227)	(355)	(233)

	Total	Party ID			Ideology			Ge	nder	Family Income		
		Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
More jobs	26%	42%	23%	14%	44%	27%	14%	30%	22%	26%	23%	32%
The same amount of jobs	38%	40%	37%	36%	39%	37%	38%	38%	37%	34%	42%	42%
Fewer jobs	23%	9%	25%	37%	6%	21%	36%	22%	25%	23%	24%	20%
Not sure	13%	10%	15%	12%	11%	15%	12%	10%	16%	16%	11%	6%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(998)	(361)	(284)	(247)	(266)	(368)	(309)	(433)	(565)	(383)	(331)	(143)

February 28-March 2, 2015



104. milstat
We'd like to know whether you or someone in your immediate family is currently serving or has ever served in the U.S. military. Immediate family is defined as your parents, siblings, spouse, and children. Please check all boxes that apply.

		Age					R	ace		Region			
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Military Household - I am	1%	2%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	0%
Military Household - Family	7%	11%	5%	7%	7%	7%	8%	14%	2%	6%	9%	8%	5%
Military Household - I served													
previously	13%	4%	11%	13%	32%	15%	11%	13%	3%	6%	12%	16%	15%
Military Household - Family													
served previously	37%	20%	33%	44%	54%	41%	33%	25%	25%	37%	36%	35%	41%
Military Household - None	48%	67%	54%	42%	19%	44%	50%	51%	70%	53%	50%	47%	43%
Totals	(1,000)	(218)	(272)	(374)	(136)	(687)	(121)	(113)	(79)	(183)	(227)	(357)	(233)

		Party ID				Ideology		Ge	nder	Family Income		
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Military Household - I am	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%
Military Household - Family	7%	6%	7%	10%	7%	7%	8%	7%	8%	8%	9%	7%
Military Household - I served												
previously	13%	9%	12%	19%	8%	9%	20%	24%	2%	15%	16%	7%
Military Household - Family												
served previously	37%	34%	37%	39%	32%	39%	38%	28%	45%	38%	38%	30%
Military Household - None	48%	54%	47%	40%	54%	48%	43%	50%	46%	45%	45%	59%
Totals	(1,000)	(361)	(284)	(248)	(267)	(369)	(309)	(434)	(566)	(384)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



105. Support for Tea Party movement

Generally speaking, do you support or oppose the goals of the Tea Party movement?

		Age					R	ace		Region			
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Strongly support	11%	8%	6%	14%	19%	14%	1%	15%	3%	10%	11%	11%	12%
Somewhat support	16%	10%	21%	17%	18%	19%	5%	11%	17%	12%	13%	21%	15%
Neither support, nor oppose	18%	19%	16%	20%	18%	17%	23%	24%	20%	20%	15%	17%	23%
Somewhat oppose	8%	10%	5%	9%	5%	7%	7%	10%	8%	9%	7%	6%	10%
Strongly oppose	28%	31%	26%	26%	33%	28%	40%	15%	34%	34%	30%	26%	25%
Not sure	18%	22%	27%	14%	8%	16%	24%	26%	19%	14%	23%	19%	15%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(1,000)	(218)	(272)	(374)	(136)	(687)	(121)	(113)	(79)	(183)	(227)	(357)	(233)

		Party ID			Ideology			Ge	nder	Family Income		
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Strongly support	11%	1%	11%	23%	1%	3%	26%	14%	9%	8%	14%	10%
Somewhat support	16%	6%	13%	34%	5%	13%	27%	18%	15%	15%	17%	16%
Neither support, nor oppose	18%	14%	24%	17%	6%	23%	22%	19%	18%	22%	23%	5%
Somewhat oppose	8%	8%	9%	6%	7%	11%	4%	8%	7%	7%	5%	16%
Strongly oppose	28%	52%	26%	6%	67%	27%	7%	30%	26%	24%	28%	44%
Not sure	18%	18%	18%	14%	14%	23%	15%	12%	24%	23%	14%	10%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(1,000)	(361)	(284)	(248)	(267)	(369)	(309)	(434)	(566)	(384)	(331)	(144)

February 28-March 2, 2015



106. Party IDParty Identification

		Age					R	ace		Region			
	Total	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Dem	36%	39%	38%	35%	30%	28%	62%	45%	48%	39%	44%	34%	28%
Ind	34%	36%	33%	36%	25%	34%	24%	38%	37%	39%	26%	34%	37%
Rep	30%	25%	29%	28%	45%	37%	14%	17%	15%	21%	30%	33%	35%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(893)	(180)	(247)	(343)	(123)	(623)	(104)	(99)	(67)	(164)	(201)	(319)	(209)

		Party ID				Ideology			nder	Family Income		
	Total	Dem	Ind	Rep	Lib	Mod	Con	Male	Female	Under 40	40-100	100+
Dem	36%	100%	0%	0%	73%	33%	15%	31%	41%	40%	34%	36%
Ind	34%	0%	100%	0%	20%	51%	25%	41%	27%	36%	33%	29%
Rep	30%	0%	0%	100%	7%	16%	60%	29%	32%	24%	33%	35%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
(Unweighted N)	(893)	(361)	(284)	(248)	(254)	(332)	(281)	(391)	(502)	(335)	(309)	(135)

February 28-March 2, 2015



Sponsorship The Economist

Fieldwork YouGov

Interviewing Dates February 28-March 2, 2015

Target population U.S. citizens, aged 18 and over.

Sampling method Respondents were selected from YouGov's opt-in Internet panel using sam-

ple matching. A random sample (stratified by age, gender, race, education, and region) was selected from the 2010 American Community Study. Voter registration was imputed from the November 2010 Current Population Survey Registration and Voting Supplement. Religion, political interest, minor party identification, and non-placement on an ideology scale, were imputed from

the 2008 Pew Religion in American Life Survey.

Weighting The sample was weighted using propensity scores based on age, gender,

race, education, news interest, voter registration, and non-placement on an ideology scale. The weights range from 0.1 to 4.2, with a mean of one and a

standard deviation of 0.92.

Number of respondents 1000

Margin of error \pm 4.2% (adjusted for weighting)

Survey mode Web-based interviews

Questions not reported 21 questions not reported.