

# YouGov / The Times Survey Results

Sample Size: 2054 adults in GB  
Fieldwork: 6th - 7th December 2023

	Westminster VI				Vote in 2019 GE			EU Ref 2016		Gender		Age				Social Grade		Country			Region in England			
Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Remain	Leave	Male	Female	18-24	25-49	50-64	65+	ABC1	C2DE	England	Wales	Scotland	North	Midlands	London	Rest of South	
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>2054</b>	300	606	134	672	497	175	724	765	994	1060	216	848	507	483	1171	883	1773	103	179	495	341	249	688
<b>Unweighted Sample</b>	<b>2054</b>	313	621	140	692	491	185	821	785	965	1089	154	829	530	541	1235	819	1768	105	181	512	344	207	705
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

How well or badly do you think the following people responded to the Covid-19 outbreak?

**Boris Johnson as the then Prime Minister?**

Very well	6	17	2	2	14	1	0	3	12	6	6	2	4	7	11	5	8	6	8	3	6	8	4	6
Fairly well	21	49	7	18	39	4	13	10	35	21	21	13	16	22	32	19	24	22	27	8	21	21	17	24
<b>TOTAL WELL</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>30</b>
Fairly badly	19	17	14	26	20	14	23	18	18	19	18	24	19	17	18	20	18	20	14	12	17	20	21	21
Very badly	47	16	72	52	23	75	63	67	30	47	47	43	53	49	37	51	41	45	45	72	48	42	45	43
<b>TOTAL BADLY</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>64</b>
Don't know	7	1	5	2	3	5	2	2	5	7	7	18	8	5	3	5	10	7	6	5	8	8	12	5

**Rishi Sunak as the then Chancellor of the Exchequer?**

Very well	4	15	1	2	9	1	2	4	5	5	3	2	4	5	4	4	4	4	3	5	4	5	5	3
Fairly well	25	53	13	28	41	13	19	21	34	24	25	11	22	26	34	25	24	26	28	14	24	23	22	29
<b>TOTAL WELL</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>32</b>
Fairly badly	24	17	28	28	22	24	33	26	23	25	22	27	22	24	25	27	20	24	20	24	23	24	22	25
Very badly	32	5	47	33	18	49	34	40	25	34	30	34	35	34	24	32	32	31	33	45	33	32	33	28
<b>TOTAL BADLY</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>53</b>
Don't know	16	10	11	10	10	13	12	9	13	12	19	26	17	11	13	13	19	16	16	12	16	16	17	16

**Matt Hancock as the then Health Secretary?**

Very well	2	5	1	1	4	1	0	1	3	2	2	2	1	2	3	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	4	2
Fairly well	14	37	5	11	26	4	8	9	23	14	14	7	10	16	24	13	17	15	14	5	14	14	11	18
<b>TOTAL WELL</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>20</b>
Fairly badly	21	32	15	24	28	15	17	20	25	20	22	15	20	22	24	23	18	22	19	17	18	21	19	25
Very badly	52	19	72	56	36	73	70	66	41	54	50	55	57	53	41	54	49	50	56	68	54	51	49	47
<b>TOTAL BADLY</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>72</b>
Don't know	11	6	6	8	7	6	4	4	9	10	12	22	12	8	7	8	15	11	10	8	12	12	17	9

Sample Size: 2054 adults in GB  
Fieldwork: 6th - 7th December 2023

	Westminster VI			Vote in 2019 GE			EU Ref 2016		Gender		Age				Social Grade		Country			Region in England				
Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Remain	Leave	Male	Female	18-24	25-49	50-64	65+	ABC1	C2DE	England	Wales	Scotland	North	Midlands	London	Rest of South	
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>2054</b>	300	606	134	672	497	175	724	765	994	1060	216	848	507	483	1171	883	1773	103	179	495	341	249	688
<b>Unweighted Sample</b>	<b>2054</b>	313	621	140	692	491	185	821	785	965	1089	154	829	530	541	1235	819	1768	105	181	512	344	207	705
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Thinking about the amount of financial support that was given to people and businesses during the Covid-19 outbreak, do you think this...

Was too much and was too expensive	<b>23</b>	30	18	21	32	19	26	22	31	25	21	12	21	28	27	26	19	23	26	21	23	22	19	25
Was not enough and more support was needed	<b>24</b>	12	33	19	13	31	20	27	19	22	26	32	27	23	15	21	27	23	25	25	27	25	22	20
Was about the right level	<b>35</b>	52	32	50	46	32	46	39	38	34	36	24	31	37	44	37	32	35	30	34	31	33	34	41
Don't know	<b>18</b>	6	18	10	9	18	8	12	12	19	18	32	21	11	14	15	22	18	20	19	19	20	25	14

And thinking about the "Eat Out to Help Out" scheme, which gave a 50% discount to people eating out in restaurants in late August 2020, do you think this was a good or bad idea?

A good idea	<b>32</b>	47	24	26	40	23	15	24	36	29	35	41	35	28	28	32	33	33	26	28	32	35	34	32
A bad idea	<b>52</b>	39	65	61	47	68	74	67	49	56	48	33	50	57	57	55	47	51	57	52	51	47	48	54
Don't know	<b>16</b>	15	10	13	13	9	12	9	16	15	17	26	15	15	15	13	20	16	16	20	17	18	18	13

\*Any percentages calculated on bases fewer than 100 respondents do not represent a wide enough cross-section of the target population to be considered statistically reliable. These figures should not be used.