



Publication date: 21st November 2025

Short Methodology Statement

Research company	YouGov Galaxy Pty Ltd
Client commissioning the research	Climate Council
Fieldwork dates	12 th November – 17 th November 2025
Mode of data collection	Online recruited from research panel (100%)
Target population	Australians eligible to vote
Sample size	3783
Australian Polling Council compliant	Yes
URL of Long Methodology statement	https://au.yougov.com/results/apc
Is voting intention published?	Yes

Long Methodology Statement

Effective sample size after weighting applied	2550
Margin of error associated with effective sample size	±1.59
Quotas and weighting – general	Age, Gender, Education, AEC region, household income, 2025 Federal Election vote and 2023 Voice Referendum vote.
Weighting method used - general	Rim weighting.
Quotas and weighting – MRP	Not applicable for Bayesian MRP models – credible intervals for national vote shares range between.
Weighting method used - MRP	Bayesian post-stratification estimation.
Full question text, responses categories and randomisation	Below for published questions
Source of online sample	Selected from previously recruited online panels of research participants using quotas based on weighting frame and past vote.
Positioning of voting intention questions in questionnaire?	After demographics



**Australian
Polling Council
Quality Mark**

YouGov®

How were undecided voters handled?	Voters were given an option to select “don’t know”
2PP calculation method	NA



Full Question Text of Published Questions

Q3	Do you agree or disagree with this statement: Australia's national environment law should protect our environment from the impacts of climate change. <i>Single select, reverse</i>	Ask eligible voters
1.	Strongly agree	
2.	Agree	
3.	Neutral/undecided/unsure	
4.	Disagree	
5.	Strongly disagree	
Q4	Do you agree or disagree with this statement: Australia's national environment law should consider the impacts of climate change when assessing fossil fuel projects. <i>Single select, reverse</i>	Ask eligible voters
1.	Strongly agree	
2.	Agree	
3.	Neutral/undecided/unsure	
4.	Disagree	
5.	Strongly disagree	
Q5	Do you agree or disagree with this statement: A credible environment law would not allow companies to expand coal and gas projects that increase climate pollution. <i>Single select, reverse</i>	Ask eligible voters
1.	Strongly agree	
2.	Agree	
3.	Neutral/undecided/unsure	
4.	Disagree	
5.	Strongly disagree	
Q6	Do you agree or disagree with this statement: Coal and gas projects should <u>not</u> be fast-tracked under our national environment law. <i>Single select, reverse</i>	Ask eligible voters
1.	Strongly agree	
2.	Agree	
3.	Neutral/undecided/unsure	
4.	Disagree	
5.	Strongly disagree	
Q7	Do you agree or disagree with this statement: The federal government should retain the power to prevent coal and gas mines from harming water resources rather	Ask eligible voters



	than handing such decisions over to state or territory governments.	
	<i>Single select, reverse</i>	
1.	Strongly agree	
2.	Agree	
3.	Neutral/undecided/unsure	
4.	Disagree	
5.	Strongly disagree	
Q8	If the proposed environment law undermined Australia's ability to reach our climate targets, would you be: Please select one option only <i>Single select, reverse</i>	Ask eligible voters
1.	Extremely concerned	
2.	Concerned	
3.	Neutral/undecided/unsure	
4.	Unconcerned	
5.	Extremely unconcerned	
Q9	If the Prime Minister decides not to include climate change in the environment laws, what is closest to your view of that decision? <i>Single select, reverse</i>	Ask eligible voters
1.	Right decision	
2.	Wrong decision	
Q10	Are you more or less likely to vote for Labor if the Albanese Labor Government doesn't address climate change in our new national environment law? <i>Single select, reverse (1-4)</i>	Ask eligible voters
1.	Much more likely	
2.	More likely	
3.	Less likely	
4.	Much less likely	
5.	Unchanged	Fixed